J SCHOOL OF NURSING The University of Kansas

Aims

The aims of this mixed-methods study were to:

- Determine if simulations shown in 1) the classroom increase participant cognitive knowledge
- Explore student perceptions of in-2) class simulation observations

Introduction

- The classroom-clinical gap continues to be a challenge for nursing students and new nurses (Carson & Harder, 2016).
- New and innovative ways to educate today's pre-licensure students are necessary so they are better prepared to transition into practice (Benner et al., CKD # 2010).
- High-fidelity simulation-based experiences (HFSBE) have become widely integrated into nursing programs over the past several decades as an active learning strategy that engages students and develops essential "real world" nursing competencies (Alexander et al., 2015; Cantrell et al., 2017)
- Few reports have described simulation as an active learning strategy in the classroom setting to bridge the classroom-clinical gap (Waldner & Olson, 2007).

Bringing Simulation into the Classroom:

Effects on Knowledge and Student Satisfaction

Methods

- The intervention was an in-class, inter-professional simulation program in a 16-week prelicensure, undergraduate, third year pathophysiology course that included:
 - 1) Observation of a pre-recorded, 20-minute inter-professional simulation in the classroom at Week 10, Week 12, and Week 16
 - 2) Participation in large and small group debriefing sessions, using Debriefing with Good Judgment, immediately following observation of each of the three interprofessional simulation recordings.
- The three sims consisted of two nursing students and one medical student caring for a patient with rhabdomyolysis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, and chronic kidney disease as those conditions were recently covered in the didactic portion of the class.

Results

• Students' scores (n = 125) on 13 exam questions regarding the topics of rhabdomyolysis, chronic kidney disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were compared to the previous year's (2018) student cohort (n = 122) who received the course content via lecture-format only.



Chart 1. Quantitative Data

Chart 1, Students from the 2019 cohort who observed in-class simulations outperformed the 2018 cohort who received only lecture as their method of learning. Cumulatively there was a positive 19.7% increase in scores for the 2019 cohort.

Table 1, reflects the student survey responses. Students responded with 86.4% viewing in-class simulation observation and debriefing as a positive learning experience. Also, 76.27% of students subjectively felt they had increased their knowledge related to the content after the in-class simulation program.



Nathan C. Mattson MSN-NE, RN, CPN Laura Klenke-Borgmann, Ph.D., RN, CEN The University of Kansas School of Nursing, Kansas City, KS

Data (n=59)	Agree	Disagree
ass simulation experience provided me a learning opportunity.	81.36%	18.64%
I had a positive learning experience with ss simulation experience.	86.44%	13.56%
comfortable watching simulation and g my own classmates.	13.56%	86.44%
ficult for me to notice all the learning ities happening in the simulation because used too closely on certain aspects of	27.12%	72.88%
ass simulation experience increased my ge of nursing care and pathophysiology ge.	76.27%	23.73%

Table 1. Qualitative Data







Conclusions

• The findings from this study fill a gap in the extant literature by indicating that in-class simulations are an active learning strategy that improves knowledge among pre-licensure nursing students.

• Findings also indicate that students view it as a positive learning

experience that increases

understanding of nursing care and pathophysiology, which supports increased utilization of in-class simulations among pre-licensure nursing programs.

Further research is warranted to explore the transferability of these results to clinical practice settings.

References

Alexander, M., Durham, C. F., Hooper, J. I., Jeffries, P. R., Goldman, N., Kesten, K. S., ... & Tillman, C. (2015). NCSBN simulation guidelines for prelicensure nursing programs. Journal of Nursing Regulation, 6(3), 39-42.

Benner, P., Sutphen, M., Leonard, V., & Day, L. (2010). *Educating nurses: A call for radical transformation*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Cantrell, M.A., Franklin, A., Leighton, K., & Carlson, A. (2017). The evidence in simulationbased learning experiences in nursing education and practice: An umbrella review. Clinical Simulation in Nursing, 13(12), 634-667.

Carson, P. P., & Harder, N. (2016). Simulation use within the classroom: Recommendations from the literature. Clinical Simulation in Nursing, 12(10), 429-437. Waldner, M. H. & Olson, J. K. (2007). Taking the patient to the classroom: Applying

theoretical frameworks to simulation. International Journal of Nursing Education *Scholarship, 4*(1), 1-14.

Nathan C. Mattson MSN-NE, RN, CPN



Laura Klenke-Borgmann Ph.D., RN, CEN KU is an EO/AA institution.

THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS SCHOOL OF NURSING