

# **Evaluation of Handoff Training in Pre-licensure Nursing Students Using Virtual Simulation**

Katie VanderZwan, DNP, APRN, University of Illinois at Chicago • Jennifer O'Rourke, PhD, APRN, CHSE Marcella Niehoff School of Nursing, Loyola University Chicago

#### **PURPOSE**

To evaluate if virtual simulation can improve handoff quality, accuracy, and efficiency

# IMPLICATIONS

Virtual simulation is an effective tool to deliberately practice handoff



#### INTRODUCTION

- Nurse-to-nurse shift change handoffs are defined as the transfer of information and responsibility in care across the continuum.
- Most frequent type of information transfer in a healthcare setting, averaging 2 million per year in a mid-size hospital.
- Failures during handoffs contribute to nearly 35% of all sentinel events and medical errors each year.
- Few studies have rigorously evaluated outcomes of nursing handoff training and education methods

#### **METHODS**

- 90 pre-licensure nursing students at a Midwest nursing participated in handoff training using the N-PAS (Patient Summary, Action Plan and Synthesis) handoff tool
- Following training, handoff quality, accuracy, efficiency and workload were measured using the Handoff CEX Sender and Receiver instruments, NASA Taskload instrument, and N-PAS checklist
- Students completed three medical-surgical Laerdal Vsim cases and audio recorded a handoff for each case
- All handoffs were scored by two independently trained nurses



#### Training

#### Didactic

- Meaning and need for handoffs
- Handoff process
- Barriers to handoffs
- Call for standardization
- Examples of handoff tools
- Overview of N-PAS tool

#### **Case Studies**

- Execute a verbal handoff
- Evaluate a verbal handoff

Hand-Off Quality			
Domain	Sender N = 60	Receiver N = 60	Difference
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P value
Setting	5.55 (2.37)	5.88 (2.24)	.441
Organization	6.62 (1.58)	7.50 (1.33)	<u>≤</u> .001
Communication	7.08 (1.55)	7.49 (1.51)	.094
Content	6.72 (1.58)		
Judgement	6.98 (1.41)	7.37 (1.66)	.162
Professionalism	7.33 (1.46)	7.78 (1.52)	.099
Overall	7.07 (1.49)	7.46 (1.38)	.140

Scores for each domain ranged from 1-9 with 1 = unsatisfactory and 9 = superior





#### **IN-CLASS EVALUATION FINDINGS**





The above chart represents the mean workload score, as perceived by the sending nurse. Scores range from 0-21 with 0 = low demand and 21 = high demand

**FUNDING:** Funding for this project was received through Loyola University Chicago





Frustration

### **PRELIMINARY AUDIO HANDOFF DATA**

## Handoff Efficiency

• Mean time to handoff Sample Case = 2.15 minutes

# Handoff Accuracy



#### Sample Vsim Case – Brown Handoff Data (N = 8) **Percent of Handoff**

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Our study demonstrated that students lack accurate handoff skills and need deliberate and continued handoff training.
- Virtual simulation is a useful tool for training handoff competency however more research is needed to determine the progression of handoff skills as students progress in a nursing program.

# REFERENCES

O'Rourke, J. et al. (2020). Comparison of a Nurse-Nurse Handoff Mnemonic with Real World Handoffs. Journal of Nursing Care Quality.

O'Rourke, J., et al. (2018). A Delphi Study to Identify the Core Components of Nurse to Nurse Handoff. Journal of Advanced Nursing.

Abraham, J. et al. (2014). A systematic review of the literature on the evaluation of handoff tools: implications for research and practice. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA.

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2008). *TeamSTEPPS*<sup>™</sup>: pocket guide: strategies & tools to enhance performance and patient safety. Retrieved from http://purl.fdlp.gov/GPO/gpo24004

Riesenberg, L. et al. (2009). Systematic review of handoff mnemonics literature. American Journal of Medical Quality.