

LAND GRANT PROGRAM

INTRODUCTION

The feedlot-receiving period is characterized by several stress caused by separation from the mother, commingling, transportati vaccination, exposure to pathogens, and changes in diet environment (Arthington et al., 2013). Research studies have focus on evaluating several nutritional strategies, including the use of DI to optimize animal performance and immunity during the receiving period (McAllister et al., 2011). The objective of this study was evaluate the effects of dietary supplementation of a blend of DFMs a their fermentation products on performance, immunity, ser biochemistry, and plasma metabolome of newly weaned beef ste during a 42-d receiving period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Forty newly weaned Angus crossbred steers (7 days post-weaning 210 ± 12 kg of BW; 180 ± 17 d of age) from a single source were stratified by BW into 4 weight blocks
- The steers were assigned to two treatments for a period of 42 d. \blacktriangleright Diet with no additive (CON; n = 20) \succ CON + 19 g of Commence Additive (**PROB**; n = 20)
- CommenceTM Feed Additive (PMI, Arden Hills, MN) is an optimized blend of 6.2 \times 10¹¹ cfu/g of S. cerevisiae, 3.5 \times 10¹⁰ cfu/g of a mixture of *Enterococcus lactis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, Enterococcus faecium, and L. casei, and the fermentation product
- The quantity of feed offered to each steer was recorded daily. Die refused (as fed) was also measured daily
- Body weights of steers were obtained before morning feeding on 0, 21 and 42.
- 15 mL of blood was taken before the morning feeding on d 0, 21. and 42 for subsequent whole blood immune gene expression and plasma metabolome analysis.
- Expression of 84 genes related to innate and adaptive immune responses was analyzed using the RT2 Profiler[™] cow innate and adaptive immune responses PCR Array (PABT-052ZA; Qiagen)
- In-depth untargeted metabolome profile of the plasma samples collected on d 42 was done using CIL/LC-MS-based technique

Performance, whole-blood immune gene expression, and plasma metabolome of beef steers fed diet supplemented with a Saccharomyces cerevisiae-based direct-fed microbial

Ibukun M. Ogunade, Andres Pech Cervantes, D. M. Paulus Compart College of Agriculture, Food Science, Communities, and the Environment, Kentucky State University, Frankfort, KY 40601 Agricultural Research Station, Fort Valley State University, Fort Valley, GA 31030, USA Land O'Lakes, Inc., Arden Hills, MN 55126

performance of steers during a 42- Item	CON	PR	OB	SE	Р-ч	value
Initial weight, kg	209	2	10	8.08	C).95
<u>d 1 – 42</u>						
Final weight, kg	260 ^b	27	70 ^a	2.67	().01
ADG, kg/d	1.23 ^b	1.4	42 ^a	0.06	C).04
DMI, kg/d	5.86	6.	11	0.15	C).24
Feed efficiency	0.209 ^y	0.2	232 ^x	0.01	C).10
<u>d 1 – 21</u>						
ADG, kg/d	1.24	1.	32	0.10	C).56
DMI, kg/d	5.43	5.	72	0.18 0).25
Feed efficiency	0.226	0.2	232	0.01	C).82
<u>d 22 – 42</u>						
ADG, kg/d	1.23 ^b	1.:	50 ^a	0.08	C).02
DMI, kg/d	6.30		50	0.17	C).41
Feed efficiency	0.196 ^b	0.2	230 ^a	0.01	C).05
CON = control; PROB = a blend of g/steer/day. a,bMeans with different superscript 1 x,yMeans with different superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving personal superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i>	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and	fermentation pr	coducts on blo	od immune g	gene e
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript ^{x,y} Means with different superscript able 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i>	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$	fermentation pr Day	coducts on blo	ood immune g	gene e y 42
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript a ^{x,y} Means with different superscript a Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving pe	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and	fermentation pr	coducts on blo	ood immune g Day Fold	gene e y 42
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript is ^{x,y} Means with different superscript is able 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S</i> . <i>cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol	fermentation pr Day Fold change ¹	coducts on blo v 21 P- value	ood immune g Fold change ¹	gene e y 42 P- v
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 able 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S</i> . <i>cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving pe Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ od microbial and Gene symbol TLR2	Fermentation pr Day Fold change1 1.46	coducts on blc 7 21 P- value 0.02	od immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82	gene e y 42 P- v 0
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 able 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S</i> . <i>cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6	Fold change ¹ 1.46 1.27	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01	od immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82 1.52	gene e y 42 P- v 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 Fable 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ od microbial and Gene symbol TLR2	Fermentation pr Day Fold change1 1.46	coducts on blc 7 21 P- value 0.02	od immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82	gene e y 42 P- v 0 0
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 Yable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S</i> . <i>cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF	Fold change ¹ I.22	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05	od immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82 1.52 1.53	gene e y 42 P- v 0. 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 2 able 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF	Fold change ¹ I.22	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05	od immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82 1.52 1.53	gene e y 42 P- v 0 0 0
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 ^{able} 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o transcription 6	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ The microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6	Fermentation pr Day Fold change1 1.46 1.27 1.22 1.32	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02	ood immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22	gene e y 42 P- v 0 0 0
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 ^{x,y} Means with different superscript 1 ^{a,b} Means with different superscript 1 able 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerew</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o transcription 6 Caspase 1	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 STAT6 CASP1	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	ood immune g Fold change ¹ 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22	gene e y 42 P- v 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript I ^{x,y} Means with different superscript I able 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o transcription 6 Caspase 1 Interleukin 8	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \leq 0.05$ $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 CASP1 IL-8 ICAM1	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	ood immune g Fold fold change1 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22 1.29	gene e y 42 P- v 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript is ^{x,y} Means with different superscript is Fable 2 . Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerew</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o transcription 6 Caspase 1 Interleukin 8 Intercellular adhesion molecule 1	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \le 0.05$ $0.05 < P \le 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 CASP1 IL-8	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	Day Day Fold change1 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22 1.29	gene e y 42 P- v 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript is ^{x,y} Means with different superscript is 'able 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerev</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator o transcription 6 Caspase 1 Interleukin 8 Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 Retinoic acid-related	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \leq 0.05$ $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ ed microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 CASP1 IL-8 ICAM1	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	ood immune g Fold fold change1 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22 1.29	y 42 y 42 P- v 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript is ^{x,y} Means with different superscript is able 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. cerevise</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 Caspase 1 Interleukin 8 Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 Retinoic acid-related -related orphan receptor C	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \leq 0.05$ $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ od microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 STAT6 CASP1 IL-8 ICAM1 IL-8 ICAM1	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	od immune g Fold fold change1 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22 1.29 1.29 1.25	gene e
g/steer/day. ^{a,b} Means with different superscript is ^{x,y} Means with different superscript is ^c able 2. Effects of a blend of <i>S. ceren</i> eef steers during a 42-d receiving per Gene Name Toll-like receptor 2 Toll-like receptor 6 Tumor Necrosis Factor Signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 Caspase 1 Interleukin 8 Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 Retinoic acid-related -related orphan receptor C Transcription factor T-bet	letters differ at letters differ at <i>visiae</i> -direct-fe eriod	$P \leq 0.05$ $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ and microbial and Gene symbol TLR2 TLR6 TNF STAT6 STAT6 CASP1 IL-8 ICAM1 IL-8 ICAM1 RORC TBX21	Formentation pay Fold change1 I.46 I.27 I.22 I.32 I.38	coducts on blo 21 P- value 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.04	od immune g Fold fold change1 1.82 1.52 1.53 1.22 1.29 1.29 1.24	gene e y 42 P- ^ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Table 3. Identified peak pairs (tier 1 and tier 2) that were affected by dietary supplementation of a blend of S. cerevisiae-based direct-fed microbial and fermentation products.

Item

5-Methylc

Indole-ac

5-Aminop

4-Methyla

3,4-Dihydroxy

Trans-2,3

2-Hydroxy hydroxyph with Universal RT Calibrant data. FC: fold change relative to Control; *P*-value was calculated from student's t-test; Tier 1 - Positive Identification (CIL Library); Tier 2 - High Confidence Putative Identification (LI Library).

This study was funded by PMI. Additional support was provided by USDA-NIFA Evans-Allen grant project 1008985

RESULTS

	Normalized RT	FC	P-value	Identification level
cytosine	529.1	1.20	0.02	Tier 2
rylic acid	1247	1.26	0.002	Tier 1
pentanoic acid	523.9	0.72	0.007	Tier 1
aminobutyrate	553.6	0.79	0.001	Tier 2
yphenylethyleneglycol	636.0	0.80	0.001	Tier 2
-Dihydroxycinnamate	841.9	0.81	0.01	Tier 2
y-3-(4- henyl)propenoate	871.8	0.81	0.02	Tier 2

Normalized RT (retention time) shows the corrected retention time of the peak pair

CONCLUSIONS

• This study demonstrated that PROB diet improved the growth and feed efficiency of newly weaned beef steers during the receiving period.

• The increased growth and feed efficiency was supported by increased expression of genes responsible for promoting the animal's immune response toward intracellular and extracellular pathogens.

• In addition, plasma untargeted metabolomic profiling of the steers fed PROB diet revealed an increase in the concentration of metabolites involved in protecting the animals against inflammation.

REFERENCE

• Arthington, J. D., R. F. Cooke, P. Moriel, N. Dilorenzo, and G. C. Lamb. 2013.

• McAllister, T., K. A. Beauchemin, A. Alazzeh, and K. Stanford. 2011.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT