

Effects of dietary concentrate level and limited feed access on feed intake and performance by lactating Alpine dairy goats

L. P. S. Ribeiro, R. Puchala, T. A. Gipson, R. V. Lourencon, and A. L. Goetsch American Institute for goat research, Langston University, Langston, OK

INTRODUCTION

Results of some studies have suggested potential benefits in production systems of lactating dairy goats from limiting the time of access to feed and restricting it to certain periods. However, there were not clear or consistent effects of the treatments on which recommendations for limited access treatments could be based, suggesting need for further research.

The objective of this study was to determine if effects of different limited feed access treatments on performance by lactating Alpine dairy goats vary with dietary concentrate level.

MATERIAL & METHODS

- 58 Alpine goats: Primiparous (29; 55.2 ± 0.8 kg BW) and multiparous (29; 70.2 ± 1.06 kg).
- Were used in a 24-wk study of 3 8-wk phases beginning at 7.1 ± 0.37 days after kidding.
- Diets of 40 or 60% concentrate (40C and 60C, respectively) were offered free-choice in Calan gate feeders.
- The treatments: access continuously (CON), for 8 h between morning and afternoon milkings (DAY), or for 2 h after each milking (FRH).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- There were many interactions involving phase.
- Nonetheless, ADG and intake of DM during the entire study was greater for CON vs. FRH.
- Milk fat concentration was greatest among treatments for FRH.
- There were interactions between concentrate level and access treatment in milk yield and protein concentration.
- Milk protein concentration was lowest among treatments for 60C:FRH except for 40C:DAY.
- Milk energy yield was greater for 60C vs. 40C with CON and DAY but lower for 60C with FRH.
- The ratio of milk energy to DMI did not markedly vary with access treatment.





Overall limite
not improve
shortest acce
loss. Althoug
for milk ene
impacieu.

condition score, feed intake, and milk yield and composition									
		40C				60C			
ltem ¹	CON	DAY	FRH		CON	DAY	FRH	ATD ²	
BW (kg)	55.7	53.9	55.9		59.6	56.9	56.3		
ADG (g)	-26	-39	-65		-13	-43	-57	C>F	
BCS	2.75	2.75	2.81		2.99	2.91	2.88		
DM intake									
g/day	2173	2028	2010		2289	2070	1801	C>F	
% BW	3.92	3.77	3.67		3.85	3.67	3.27	C>F	
g/kg BW ^{0.75}	106.8	102.0	99.6		106.8	100.3	88.8	C>F	
Milk concentration									
Fat (%)	2.79	2.77	3.18		2.86	2.89	3.02	F>C&D	
Protein (%)	2.65 ^{bc}	2.55 ^{ab}	2.60 ^{abc}		2.67°	2.70 ^c	2.49 ^a		
Lactose (%)	4.36	4.31	4.38		4.39	4.46	4.39		
SNF (%)	7.72 ^{abc}	7.56 ^a	7.67 ^{ab}		7.79 ^{bc}	7.92 ^c	7.58 ^{ab}		
Energy (MJ/kg)	2.41	2.37	2.56		2.45	2.48	2.47		
Log SCC	7.21	7.04	6.84		7.13	6.94	7.13		
SCC	2339	1534	1853		1871	1473	2021		
Milk yield									
Total (kg/d)	2.55 ^{abc}	2.41 ^{ab}	2.61 ^{bc}		3.21°	2.66 ^{bc}	2.27 ^a		
Fat (g/d)	71 ^{ab}	66 ^a	83 ^{cd}		91 ^d	77 ^{bc}	68 ^{ab}		
Protein (g/d)	68 ^{bc}	62 ^{ab}	68 ^{bc}		85 ^d	71 ^c	57 ^a		
Lactose (g/d)	112 ^{abc}	104 ^{ab}	115 ^{bc}		141 ^d	118 ^c	100 ^a		
SNF (g/d)	197 ^{bc}	182 ^{ab}	201 ^{bc}		249 ^d	210 ^c	173 ^a		
Energy									
MJ/d	6.14 ^{ab}	5.70 ^a	6.67 ^b		7.83°	6.58 ^b	5.61 ^a		
MJ/kg DMI	3.01 ^{ab}	2.87ª	3.37°		3.47°	3.28 ^{bc}	3.18 ^{abc}		
¹ BCS = body condition score; SNF = solids-non-fat; TS = total solids; SCC =									
somatic cell count.									

Effects of distance second tender second transmost on DW/ ADC had

²ATD = differences (P < 0.05) between feeder access treatments.

CONCLUSION

ed feeder access treatments did performance and, in fact, the ess treatment increased BW h, efficiency of feed DM usage ergy yield was not adversely