# Public Perceptions of Problematic Equine Industry Sectors and Management Scenarios



Kelly Melvin<sup>1</sup>, Peter D. Krawczel<sup>2</sup>, Liesel G. Schneider<sup>1</sup>, Jennie L. Z. Ivey<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The University of Tennessee, <sup>2</sup> University of Finland

**PSI-20** 

#### Introduction

- Equine welfare is a widely debated topic encompassing many aspects from management to use (Visser & Van Wijk-Jansen, 2012; Hartmann, et al., 2017)
- Specific equine industries are thought to be potentially more problematic than others (Lenz, 2013)
- Previously looked at how an individual's welfare definition, industry connection, and classification correspond to their perception of common livestock management scenarios in relation to equines
- Hypothesized that an individual's personal welfare definition, industry connection, and classification of equines can impact how they respond to management scenarios
- Objectives
  - Determine which equine industry sector is deemed most problematic by the public
  - Determine what an individual perceives is the most concerning blanketing management scenario that is commonly seen across the industry

## **Materials and Methods**

- Online survey through QuestionPro
- Distributed over 6 weeks through social media and email
- U.S residents over the age of 18
- Demographics, welfare definition, equine classification, most problematic equine industry, management scenarios, equine use, processing, and familiarity with welfare issues and processing
- All data was analyzed in SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC)
- Most problematic equine industry was analyzed using PROC FREQ in order to acquire frequency of respondents
- Management scenario was analyzed using a multinomial logistic regression (PROC LOGISTIC) to evaluate the effects of welfare definition, industry connection, and classification of equines

#### Results

Demographic	S	Melvin et. al. 2019					
n=1,508	n=1,176 7 n	Prefer not to answer 10% 10% n=38					
Age	56-65 years	<b>27</b> % n=374					
Race	White	<b>93%</b> n=1,265					
Education	<b>Bachelors</b>	<b>32%</b> n=441					
Location	South	<b>63</b> % n=1,333					
Welfare Definition							
Least Restrictive  Basic needs are met  8% n=103							
Moderately Restrictive 60% n=827							
Basic needs are met, and the animals are free from							
pain, fear, or distress and can express normal behavior							
Most Restrict	<b>32%</b> n=438						
Every time previously mentioned in addition to horses cannot be used for any human purpose							
Industry Connection							
Light Connection	<b>10%</b> n=139						
No connection, parent, animal rights activist <b>Moderate Connection</b>		<b>6%</b> n=76					
Student, farm worker, academic <b>Heavy Connection</b> Horse owner, trainer, veterinarian, farrier, indi		<b>84%</b> n=1,157					
professional, horse business owner  Equine Classification							
Compani	on	Livestock					

1	n=1244						
Į.	Gaited	Racing	Stock	Sport	Draft		
΄	45%	41%	9%	3%	2%		
1	n=563	n=513	n=108	n=33	n=27		
5 3							
Effect of welfare definition and industry connection regarding  Referent: Both setting are equine management Scenario involving blanketing  equine management Scenario involving blanketing							
ı	Parameter	Response	Comparison	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Limit		
ı	Connection (P<0.0001)	Blanket plus full coat and unlimited feed	Heavy vs. Light	7.23	2.90-18.07		
	Connection (P<0.0001)	Both equal but no issue	Heavy vs. Light	4.09	2.08-8.04		
	Welfare Definition	Blanket plus full coat	Moderate vs Most Restrictive	4.26	2.08-8.75		

# Conclusion and Discussion

**61%** n=831

Gaited was most problematic industry sector followed by racing

**39%** n=541

- Majority of respondents located in the South
- Gaited and racing industries
   highlighted frequently within media
   and animal rights organizations
   (HSUS; Denham, 2014)
- Regarding blanketing management of horses, industry connection and welfare definition play a role in how a person responds

and unlimited feed

**Un-blanketed plus** 

unlimited feed

(P=0.0009)

Welfare

**Definition** 

(P=0.0009)

Welfare

**Definition** 

(P=0.0009)

Most Problematic Equine Industry Sector

- Classification of equines was not a significant influencer regarding response
- Each individual has their own perception shaped by many aspects within their

Moderate vs. Most Restrictive

Both equal but no issue Moderate vs. Most Restrictive

 Educational outreach could be beneficial to increase personal knowledge and perception

### References

1.26-5.43

2.12-8.03

- Destruction Co. 2010. Terrus and Description and of Assistable male in le
- welfare. s.l., s.n.
   Denham, B., 2014. Intermedia Attribute Agenda Setting in the New Y Times: The Case of Animal Abuse in U.S. Horse Racing. Journalism & Mass Communications Quarterly, 91(1), pp. 17-37.
- and Norwegian equestrian community. *Journal of Animal Scient* pp. 1104-1117.
   Lenz, T., 2013. *Equine Welfare in work, entertainment, and pleaters*
- Lenz, T., 2013. Equine Welfare in work, entertainment, and please Chicago: s.n.
- classification and subsequent use. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Scienc* 76(36), p. 129.
  States, Humane Society of the United, n.d. *What is Soring*. [Online]
  Available at: <a href="https://www.humanesociety.org/resources/what-soring">https://www.humanesociety.org/resources/what-soring</a>
- Visser, E. K. & Van Wijk-Jansen, E. E. C., 2012. Diversity in horse enthusiasts with respect to horse welfare: An explorative study. *Journ*