Effect of breed on the abundance and expression of Escherichia coli Shiga toxin genes in the recto-anal junction of feedlot beef cattle

Zhe Pan¹, Yanhong Chen¹, Tim A. McAllister², Michael Gänzle¹, Graham Platsow¹, Le Luo Guan^{1*}

1 Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada 2 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge Research Centre, Lethbridge, AB, Canada

Introduction

- Shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli (STEC) cause one of the most important foodborne disease.
- Many human infections are attributed to beef consumption, or to food contamination by cattle. Cattle are the main reservoir of STEC with rectal-anal junction (RAJ) as the main colonization site.

Knowledge Gap

- ◆ Many studies focused on STEC O157, however, non-O157 strains produce Stx and can cause severe human disease.
- Our previous study found differential gene expressions between super shedders (SS shed >10⁴ CFU *E.coli* O157, super-shedder) and non-SS cattle. However, whether the abundance of Stx genes in RAJ can be influenced by cattle breed is unknown. It is also unknown if host gene expression correlates with Stx expression.

Methods

In total, rectal tissue and contents were collected from 143 beef steers composed of three breeds (Angus, Charolais and Kinsella Composite) in 2014 and 2015.

q-PCR, qRT-PCR for *Stx* gene and four host genes (*MS4A1*, *CCL21*, *CD19*,*LTB*)

PROC MIXED model: the effect of breed, sampling year, sample type

Correlation analysis: relation between the expression of Stx gene and the relative expression of host genes

The random forest model and Boruta method: the predictiveness of host genes for *Stx* gene expression.

Year	Breed	AN		СН		KC		P-Value		
	Tppe	Т	С	Т	С	Т	С	Breed	Туре	Breed*Type
2014	Stx1 Mean	N/D	4.09	N/D	1.73	N/D	1.40	< 0.0001***	<0.0001***	<0.0001***
	Stx1 SE	0	5.20	0	5.79	0	5.47			
2014	Stx2 Mean	6.02	4.92	5.31	5.91	5.70	1.00	<0.0001***	<0.0001***	<0.0001***
	Stx2 SE	0.08	1.01	0.05	0.22	0.05	4.65			
2015	Stx1 Mean	6.78	0.25	6.82	N/D	6.76	N/D	0.31	<0.0001***	0.28
	Stx1 SE	0.02	1.11	0.03	0	0.03	0			
2015	Stx2 Mean	5.70	4.58	5.73	4.91	5.67	5.06	0.17	<0.0001***	0.12
	Stx2 SE	0.02	1.58	0.03	0.20	0.03	0.31			

Table1. The abundance analysis of *Stx1* and *Stx2* gene *T means tissue sample, C means content sample. H-/L-RFI means low or high feed efficiency, respectively. We use log₁₀ transformed copy numbers to represent the gene abundance.

The abundance of Stx1 and Stx2 in each sampling year was significantly difference (P<0.01) and results were separated by sampling year.

♦ For samples collected in 2014:

The Stx1 gene was not detected in rectal tissue.

The abundance of *Stx2* gene was not significant in tissue.

The Stx2 gene was more abundant in contents of AN and CH cattle compared to KC steers (P<0.0001).

◆ For samples collected in 2015:

 $(P_{Stx1}=0.31, P_{Stx2}=0.17,).$

Results

Abundance of *stx1* and *stx2* using q-PCR for samples collected from rectal tissue and contents in 2014 and 2015

The interaction effect between breeds and sample type was significant (P_{Stx1} <0.001, P_{Stx2} <0.001).

The Stx1 gene was more abundant in breed AN compared to CH and KC (P<0.001) in colonic contents.

The interaction effect between breeds and sample type was insignificant (P_{Stx1} =0.28, P_{Stx2} =0.12).

The abundance of Stx1 or Stx2 gene was insignificant among three breeds, respectively

The abundance of *Stx1* and *Stx2* genes in tissue samples were higher compared to that in contents (P_{Stx1}<0.001, P_{Stx2}<0.001), respectively.

Correlation analysis between relative expressions of host genes and Stx gene avnrassion

16221011								
		Stx2RNA	MS4A1	CD19	CCL21	LTB		
Stx2RNA	R-Value	1.00	-0.56	0.51	-0.44	0.60		
	P-Value	0.00	0.05*	0.08	0.13	0.03*		
MS4A1	R-Value		1.00	-0.55	0.39	-0.56		
	P-Value		0.00	0.05*	0.19	0.05*		
CD19	R-Value			1.00	0.19	0.98		
	P-Value			0.00	0.53	0.00***		
CCL21	R-Value				1.00	0.09		
	P-Value				0.00	0.78		
LTB	R-Value					1.00		
	P-Value					0.00		

Table2. The correlation analysis of the gene expression of Stx2 gene and host genes *Previous study showed differential expressions of MS4A1, CCL21, CD19, LTB in SS compared to non-SS. Expression of Stx1 gene was not detected in samples collected in 2014 or 2015.

- *LTB* (R=0.60, P=0.05).

The predictiveness of host genes serving as markers for Stx2 expression using random forest model and Boruta method



aure1. The assessment of random forest model using ROC curve.

*Two-thirds of each group (Stx2 expressed vs non-expressed) was split

The accuracy of training data was 96.5% and 93.6% of validation data.

The area under ROC curve (AUC) was used to evaluate the robustness of this model with AUC equals to 0.908 indicating a good prediction model.

Stx2 gene expression was found in only 13 samples.

 Stx2 gene was negatively correlated with the relative expression of MS4A1 (R=-0.56, P=0.05) and positively correlated with the relative expression of

> The random forest model indicated selected host genes can serve as markers for Stx2 with a prediction accuracy of MS4A1>LTB>CD19>CCL21.

The assessment of the random forest model:



- All selected host genes were attributes for identification of Stx2 expression.
- The rank of host genes based on Boruta method was MS4A1> LTB=CD19 > CCL21.

Conclusions

- Cattle genetic background together with sample year effect could affect the abundance of Stx1 and Stx2 gene.
- We established the preliminary predicting model using host gene expressions as markers to forecast Stx2 expression. However, further validation will be needed to support our model.

Acknowledgements







Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada













