Investigating the development of the fecal microbiome in growing diary calves



South Dakota **STATE UNIVERSITY** College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences

Background

- Since the gut of young mammals is devoid of microorganisms at birth, its colonization by symbionts and their arrangement into complex communities is a critical aspect of a young host's post-natal development
- Indeed, these microbial communities allow for increased health and nutrition utilization in young calves at the most vulnerable time in their lives.
- A better understanding of how the gut microbiome develops is therefore critical for improving calf health and optimizing their later productivity as mature animals

Objectives

- Determine the gut bacterial composition of 12 neonatal dairy calves using fecal samples
- Identify prominent known and uncharacterized gut bacterial species in growing dairy calves

Methods

- Fresh fecal samples were collected from 12 dairy calves. Samples were collected at weeks 0, 4, 8 and 12 after birth.
- Microbial DNA was extracted using a repeated bead beating plus column method.
- 16S rRNA gene sequence diversity was determined by high-throughput sequencing (MiSeq 2X300, Illumina) of PCR-generated amplicons
 - 27F 519R primers (V1-V3 region)
- Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) clustering was performed using custom-written Perl scripts (96% cutoff)
- Taxonomic affiliation was determined using the online tools RDP classifier and BLAST
- Alpha diversity indices and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) were performed using the open source software MOTHUR (v.1.44.1)
- Kruskall-Wallis and Wilcox-pairwise tests were performed in R (Version 3.6.2)

Table 1. Compara	tive analysis	of main taxon	omic groups	between time poir
Different superscr	ipts indicate s	statistical diffe	erence ($P < 0$)	.05).
Taxonomy	Week 0	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12
Firmicutes				
Clostridiaceae 1	$21.30^{\mathtt{a}} \pm 5.30$	$1.51^{b} \pm 1.34$	$0.60^{\text{bc}} \pm 0.17$	$1.35^{c} \pm 0.40$
Ruminococcaceae	$5.31^{a} \pm 2.65$	$26.50^{\text{b}}\pm2.92$	$35.48^{\text{c}} \pm 1.72$	$33.78^{\circ} \pm 1.51$
Lactobacillaceae	$9^{a} \pm 3.16$	$8.84^{a} \pm 2.37$	$< 0.01^{b}$	$0.01^{b} \pm < 0.01$
Lachnospiraceae	$2.25^{\mathtt{a}}\pm0.78$	$22.43^{b} \pm 2.13$	$22.19^{\text{b}}\pm1.68$	$24.65^{b} \pm 1.94$
Enterococcaceae	$4.13^{\mathtt{a}} \pm 1.43$	$0.09^{\texttt{b}}\pm0.05$	$< 0.01^{c}$	< 0.01°
Erysipelotrichaceae	$1.48^{a} \pm 1.29$	$4.38^{\texttt{b}}\pm0.48$	$3.06^{\text{bc}}\pm0.37$	$2.42^{c} \pm 0.21$
Streptococcaceae	$2.89^{\mathtt{a}} \pm 0.82$	$0.16^{\rm b}\pm0.11$	$< 0.01^{c}$	$0\pm0^{ ext{c}}$
Other Firmicutes	0.53 ± 0.11	5.42 ± 1.16	9.51 ± 1.00	12.25 ± 1.15
Bacteriodetes				
Porphyromonadaceae	$0.44^{\mathtt{a}} \pm 0.43$	$1.88^{\texttt{b}}\pm0.38$	$3.15^{\circ} \pm 0.48$	$2.94^{\text{c}}\pm0.28$
Bacteroidaceae	9.90 ± 3.65	10.10 ± 1.68	5.39 ± 1.04	4.63 ± 0.45
Prevotellaceae	$0.04^{a} \pm < 0.01$	$6.10^{b} \pm 1.65$	$7.10^{\mathrm{b}} \pm 1.37$	$5.86^{b} \pm 1.01$
Other Bacteroidetes	$0.02 \pm < 0.01$	3 ± 0.83	5.86 ± 0.86	5.91 ± 0.98
Proteobacteria				
Enterobacteriaceae	$41.22^{\mathtt{a}} \pm 3.68$	$2.35^{b} \pm 1.69$	$0.12^{\texttt{bc}} \pm 0.07$	$0.04^{\text{c}}\pm0.03$
Other Proteobacteria	1.08 ± 0.63	1.44 ± 0.40	1.25 ± 0.30	0.76 ± 0.14
Verrucomicrobia				
Verrucomicrobiaceae	$0.24^{\mathtt{a}}\pm0.23$	$< 0.01^{b}$	$1.50^{\circ} \pm 0.72$	$2.24^{\text{ac}}\pm0.94$
Actinobacteria				
Coriobacteriaceae	$6.21^{a} \pm 0.56$	$11.48^{\texttt{b}}\pm0.93$	$7.93^{\circ} \pm 0.24$	$7.83^{\circ} \pm 0.22$
Spirochaetae				
Spirochaetaceae	$< 0.01^{a}$	$0.12^{\text{b}}\pm0.08$	$2.30^{\circ} \pm 1.23$	$1.11^{c} \pm 0.31$
Other Bacteria	0.09 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.14	0.93 ± 0.35	0.74 ± 0.20



Emily Fowler and Benoit St-Pierre

Department of Animal Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD 57007, USA

Figure 1. Taxonomy-based composition analysis of fecal bacterial communities in individual samples collected from neonatal dairy calves.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of α diversity indices between time points. Different superscripts indicate statistical difference (<i>P</i> < 0.05).				
Index	Week 0	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12
Ace	197.50ª ± 33.81	445.20 ^b ± 44.21	770.73° ± 26.40	960.77 ^d ± 52.13
Chao	$117.39^{a} \pm 16.18$	372.50 ^b ± 36.64	682.15° ± 20.45	$850.26^{d} \pm 34.80$
Shannon	$1.83^{a} \pm 0.06$	3.89 ^b ± 0.12	4.76 ^c ± .082	$5.05^{d} \pm 0.05$
Simpson	$0.27^{a} \pm 0.02$	0.05 ^b ± 0.01	$0.02^{c} \pm 0.003$	0.02 ^c ± 0.001
Sobs	64.42ª ± 4.08	250.33 ^b ± 24.61	451.58° ± 15.31	550 ^d ± 13.98
		1 , , ,		

Table 3. Comparative analysis between time points of the most abundant OTUs. Different superscripts indicate statistical difference (P < 0.05).

OTUs	Week 0	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12	Closest valid taxon (id%)
Firmicutes					
JA_17-00190	$1.6^{a} \pm 4.6$	$.90^{b} \pm .90$	< 0.01 ^b	< 0.01 ^b	Cl perfrongens (98.73%)
JA_90-20062	$1.0^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 1.0$	$7.3^{b}\pm 2.6$	$.72^{c} \pm 2.2$	$0.23^{a}\pm0.01$	F. prausnitzii (96.57%)
JA_13-22430	$3.3^{a} \pm 1.8$	$4.0^{a}\pm1.3$	< 0.01 ^b	< 0.01 ^b	Lactobacillus johnsonii (99.63%)
JA_70-18057	< 0.01ª	3.1 ^b ± 0.64	$1.7^{b} \pm 0.39$	$0.64^{\circ} \pm 0.15$	Blautia wexlerae (96.59%)
JA_46-21334	$0.76^{\rm a}\pm0.66$	$4.5^{b}\pm1.22$	< 0.01°	< 0.01°	Lactobacillus reuteri (99.26%)
JA_81-39081	$4.1^{a} \pm 1.81$	$6.0^{a}\pm0.22$	< 0.01 ^b	$0^b\pm 0$	Butyricicoccus pullicaecorum (95.19%)
JA 18-34620	$0.01^{a}\pm < 0.01$	$4.5^{b} \pm 1.7$	$0.03^{a}\pm0.02$	$0.01^{a} \pm < 0.01$	Blautia stercori (96.18%)
JA_16-68527	< 0.01 ^a	$0.03^{\mathrm{a}}\pm0.03$	$2.4^{\text{b}}\pm0.92$	$1.7^{\text{b}}\pm0.489$	Oscillibacter ruminantium (90%)
JA_5-29412	$4.0^{\mathrm{a}}\pm2.12$	$0.04^{b} \pm 0.027$	< 0.01 ^b	< 0.01 ^b	Lactobacillus murinus (100%)
JA_46-37837	< 0.01 ^a	$2.76^b\pm1.03$	$0.89^{\text{b}}\pm0.25$	$0.26^{\circ} \pm 0.12$	Blautia caecimuris (97.09%)
JA 33-48728	$2.95^{a} \pm 1.40$	< 0.01 ^b	< 0.01°	< 0.01°	Enterococcus lactis (99.36%)
JA_36-17512	< 0.01 ^a	$0.04^{\rm a}\pm0.04$	$1.22^{\text{b}}\pm0.40$	$1.41^{b} \pm 0.41$	Oscillibacter valericigenes (90.28%)
JA_27-17537	< 0.01 ^a	$0.20^{\rm a}\pm0.20$	$1.00^{\text{b}}\pm0.30$	$1.14^{\text{b}}\pm0.27$	Oscillibacter ruminantium (89.02%)
JA_84-17601	< 0.01 ^{ab}	$0.20^{a} \pm 0.20$	$0.64^{b}\pm0.51$	$1.4^{\rm c}\pm0.33$	Oscillibacter ruminantium (89.21%)
JA_17-04639	$1.68^{a}\pm0.69$	$0.01^{b} \pm 0.01$	$0.09^{b}\pm0.04$	< 0.01 ^b	Clostridium paraputrificum (99.80%) Streptococcus macedonicus
JA_5-10150	$1.60^{a} \pm 0.62$	$0.14^{b} \pm 0.11$	< 0.01°	$0^{c} \pm 0$	(99.25%)
Bacteriodetes					
JA_18-16727	< 0.01 ^a	$1.7^b\pm0.65$	$4.7^{c} \pm 0.86$	$4.6^{\text{c}}\pm0.87$	Muribaculum intestinale (89.39%)
JA_35-04049	< 0.01ª	$3.6^{b} \pm 1.3$	$0.24^{\rm c}\pm0.09$	$0.47^{bc}\pm0.11$	Bacteroides coprophilus (96.77%)
JA_7-08334	< 0.01 ^a	$0.78^b\pm0.51$	$1.91^{\text{b}}\pm0.72$	$1.07^{b}\pm0.34$	Prevotella copri (99.24%)
JA_54-41198	$0.03^{a}\pm0.03$	$0.88^b\pm0.33$	$1.06^{b}\pm0.29$	$0.84^b\pm0.24$	Bacteroides uniformis (99.81%)
JA_16-69458	< 0.01 ^a	$0.37^{ab}\pm0.27$	$0.94^{\text{b}}\pm0.34$	$1.44^b\pm0.35$	Prevotella shahii (90.53%)
JA_5-13995	< 0.01 ^a	$1.22^b\pm0.55$	$0.22^{b}\pm0.07$	$0.42^{b}\pm0.20$	Prevotella stercorea (99.05%)
Proteobacteria					
JA_89-27339	$39.33^a\pm3.6$	$2.3^{b} \pm 1.7$	$0.12^{bc}\pm0.07$	$0.05^{c}\pm0.03$	Shigella sonnei (99.81%)
Verrucomicrobia					
JA_36-61905	$0.01^{a} \pm < 0.01$	< 0.01 ^b	$1.47^{c}\pm0.72$	$2.23^{\text{c}}\pm0.94$	Methylacidimicrobium fagopyrum (91.43%)
Actinobacteria					
JA_6-16768	< 0.01 ^a	$3.34^b\pm0.91$	< 0.01 ^a	< 0.01 ^a	Collinsella aerofaciens (98.38%)

•	A gradual increase in
	at week 0, week 4 and
•	Changes in compositi

unding was provided by Idaho Milk Products. This work was also supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project 1023153.

Results



Figure 2. β diversity analysis by PCoA. Each sample is represented by a circle, with distance between points indicating the relative similarity in bacterial community structure between them. Samples were found to form three main groups (A, B, C), each clustered in a fashion consistent with their time of collection. Cluster A - Week 0 (yellow) Cluster B - Week 4 (green) Cluster C - Week 8 (purple) ;and Week 12 (blue)

Figure 1 Legend.

Samples (1-48) with corresponding week Week 0 - 1,5,9,13,17,21, 25, 29,33,37,41,45 Week 4 – 2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30,34,38,42,46 Week 8 – 3,7,11,15,19,23,27,31,35,39,43,47 Week 12-4,8,12,16,20,24, 28,32,36,40,44,48

Conclusions

species diversity was observed during the trial, with 3 distinctive bacterial community compositions observed d weeks 8-12, respectively.

Changes in composition were observed at both the levels of taxonomy and OTUs.

The respective abundance of 25 distinct OTUs, representing a combination of known and uncharacterized bacterial species, was found to fluctuate during the time period of study.

Together, these results are indicative of microbial succession taking place in the gut of neonatal dairy calves, with the period of week 0 to week 8 representing the time when gut bacterial communities are most fluid in composition.

Acknowledgements