

Background

- According to the 2016 American College of Surgeons and Surgical Infection Society guidelines, administration of pre-operative prophylactic antibiotics has been shown to decrease surgical site infection rates.
- In contrast, many national guidelines do not recommend post-operative antibiotic prophylaxis due to lack of literature supporting its use; however, they are frequently prescribed at ChristianaCare for urologic procedures.
- The practice of post-operative antibiotic administration has not correlated with reduction in post-operative infections, and has been shown to increase the risk for resistant infections, Clostridiodes difficile (C. difficile) and acute kidney injury (AKI).
- Limited literature is available regarding antibiotic prophylaxis for urologic procedures
- The purpose of this study is to describe the use of postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing urologic procedures.

Methods

- **Study design:** Single-center retrospective chart review
- **Time frame:** January 1, 2018 September 1st 2019
- **Exposure group:** Patients who underwent a urologic procedure and received postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis
- Control group: Patients who underwent a urologic procedure and did not receive postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis
- Inclusion Criteria: Adult > 18 years of age, patients who underwent urologic procedure
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Receiving antibiotic therapy for documented infection preoperatively, previous history of multi-drug resistant organisms (MDRO) prior to urologic procedure, patients who developed culture positive infection 24 hours preop, and patients who died within 24 hours postop

Outcomes

Primary:

Incidence of surgical site infections, post-operative bacteremia, and urinary tract infections between the exposure and control group

Secondary:

- Incidence of adverse outcomes, including C. difficile infection, AKI, development of antibiotic resistance, and antibiotic-specific adverse effects between the two groups
- Length of hospital stay, readmission and inpatient mortality within 30 days

Statistics

- Descriptive statistics were performed for all variables in the exposure and control group. Variables were expressed as mean values with standard deviation
- Continuous variables were compared using Student's t-test and Fisher's exact test. A p value of < 0.05 served as the threshold for statistical significance

Mear Male Race Ethni Medi Mean Туре Durat Admi Diagr Smok Outp Broad from *Inclu

30 da

Post

30 d

Evaluation of Postoperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Patients Undergoing Urologic Procedure

Mohamed Elmarakbi, PharmD; Julianne Care, PharmD; Jillian Laude, PharmD, BCPS Haynes Burkes Cates, MD; Stephen Eppes, MD

ChristianaCare, Newark, DE

					Results			
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics					Table 2. Definitions			
Characteristic	Exposure	e (N = 195)	Control (N =	= 55)	Outcome	Definit	ion	
n Age in years (SD) sex (%) (%)	63.4 (± 141 (72	13.2) 2.3)	63.3 (± 13.3 37 (67.3)	31)	Surgical Site infection (SSI)	Diagnosis of superficial incisional SSI, deep incision Urological Association, Urologic Procedures and A after receipt of post-operative antibiotic prophylaxis	al SSI, or organ/space S ntimicrobial Prophylaxis	SSI as per the America Guidelines, \leq 30 day
Vhite	146 (74 46 (23	I.9)	39 (70.9) 12 (21 8)		Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)	Positive urine culture ≤ 30 days from post-operativ of suspected UTI	e antibiotic prophylaxis	initiation for treatme
city (%)	10 (23)	<i></i>	12 (21.0)		Postoperative Bacteremia	Positive blood culture ≤ 10 days after urologic proce	dure	
Ion-Hispanic Latino Iispanic Latino	191 (97 4 (2.1)	7.9)	55 (98.2) 1 (1.8)		Antimicrobial Resistance The presence of resistance to a post-operative antibiotic received in any type of cult from date of surgery, where the threshold for resistance includes isolates with		vpe of culture ≤ 30 da ates with intermedia	
an body-mass index (IQR)	28.3 (6	.0)	27.2 (6.0)		C difficile Infection		st-operative antibiotic prophylaxis initiation	
Charlson comorbidity scor of urologic procedure (%)	rlson comorbidity score (SD) 8.4 (± 5.1) ologic procedure (%)		8.3 (± 5.1)		Acute Kidney Injury 7 day incidence of AKI, defined according to the acute kidney injury network defined according to the provider		etwork definitions or	
. Prostatectomy . Other*	68 (34.) 64 (32.) 36 (18.)	5) 5)	24 (43.6) 11 (20) 2 (3.6)		Antibiotic-Related Adverse Effects	-Gastrointestinal reactions (diarrhea, nausea), skin r -QTc prolongation, in-hospital falls for Fluoroquinolo -Hyperkalemia for Sulfamethoxazole-trimethonrim	ash, anaphylaxis for all a nes	ntimicrobials
. Cystoscopy . Cystectomy	17 (8.7 10 (5.1)	12 (19.7) 6 (9.8)		Days of Antimicrobial Therapy	A minimum of 1 dose of antibiotic therapy required to count as 1 day of antimicrobial therapy		
ion of procedure in minute	es (SD) 157.1 (± 86.4)	132.1 (± 81	1.2)				
tting service (%) urgical Services	service (%) al Services 65 (34.4)		23 (37.7)		Table 4. Secondary Endpoints			
/lissing losis of diabetes (%)	104 (55	5)	29 (47.5)			Endpoint	Exposure (N = 195)	Control (N = 55)
i ng history (%) Current smoker ormer smoker	15 (7.9) 70 (37))	9 (14.8) 12 (19.7)		90 day incidence of C diffie PCR positive PCR negative Test not performed	cile infection – no. (%)	1 (0.5) 6 (3.1) 188 (96-4)	0 (0) 1 (1.8) 54 (98 2)
lever smoker atient PPI use (%)	104 (55	5)	40 (65.6)		Acute Kidney Injury – no.	(%)		
es I spectrum antibiotic use (≤ admission) (%)	38 (20. 90 days	1)	16 (26.2) E (8.2)		No N/A Resistant organism preser	t (If any positive culture present) within 30	4 (2.1) 32 (16.4) 159 (81.5)	2 (3.6) 10 (18.2) 43 (78.2)
des nephroureterectomy, nephroso	copy/ostolithotomy, uretera	∠) I re-implant, TUR	bladder tumor, etc		days of surgery (%) Yes		3 (21.4)	1 (16.7)
	Table 3. Primary Endp	oints			Postoperative antibiotic re	lated adverse effects (%)		5 (05.5)
Endpoint	Exposure (N = 195)	Control (N = 55) P-v	-value	Yes		11 (5.6) 184 (94-4)	
y SSI (%) es	9 (4.6)	4 (7.3)	C	0.491	Mean Length of Hospital S Readmission within 30 day	tay in days (SD) /s (%)	3.7 (±4.8)	3.7 (±2.9)
operative bacteremia (%) es	2 (1.0)	1 (1.8)	C	0.608	Readmission No readmission		26 (13.3) 169 (86.7)	8 (14.5) 47 (85.5)
y UTI (%) es	16 (8.2)	7 (12.7)	C	0.307	Inpatient mortality within Yes No	30 days (%)	0 (0) 195 (100)	0 (0) 55 (100)

-operative bacteremia (%)			0.608
Yes	2 (1.0)	1 (1.8)	
ay UTI (%)			0.307
Yes	16 (8.2)	7 (12.7)	

Conclusions

patients undergoing urologic procedures, we ermined the following:

- Post-operative antimicrobial prophylaxis was common in patients undergoing urologic procedures
- Post-operative antimicrobial prophylaxis led to no statistically significant difference in postoperative infections and a potential to increase adverse effects and development of resistant organisms.
- e results of this retrospective study support hholding post-operative antibiotics in patients lergoing urologic procedures.
- itations include differences in population size and tinent baseline characteristics in both groups, lack adverse event markers, and study design flaws that ald have confounded results.
- orts to change current practice at ChristianaCare will implemented via collaboration with the urology tion and review of current order sets.

References

- Department of Health & Human Services. (2016, May 3). CDC: 1 in 3 antibiotic scriptions unnecessary | CDC Online Newsroom | CDC. Department of Health & Human Services. (2019, August 8). Antibiotic Use in
- pitals, 2017 | Antibiotic Use | CDC. Department of Health & Human Services. (2018, September 10). U.S. National
- tegy for Combating Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria (National Strategy) ibiotic/Antimicrobial Resistance | CDC.
- KA, et al. Surg Infect. 2017.
- nch-Elliman W et al. JAMA Surg. 2019.
- nen, M. E. et al. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2017.
- ntner DJ et al. American Urologic Association. 2019.
- ríos-Torres SI et al. JAMA Surg. 2017
- posing Wisely: Five Things Providers and Patients Should Question. The Society Healthcare Epidemiology of America. 2015.
- nch-Elliman W et al. JAMA Surg. 2019.
- barth S et al. Circulation. 2000
- ene DJ et al. J Endourol. 2018
- de Q et al. American journal of Epidemiology. 2011

ntact Information/Disclosures

ned Elmarakbi, BS, PharmD

- **Critical Care Pharmacy Resident**
- alth Shands
- ville, FL, 32608
- 001@shands.ufl.edu
- sures: All authors have no conflicts of interests or al interests