Influenza antiviral use in patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza in the United States, FluSurv-NET, 2015 – 2019

Mark W. Tenforde MD, PhD¹, Charisse Cummings MPH^{1,2}, Melissa Sutton MD, MPH³, Sue Kim MPH⁴, Amber Maslar MPA⁵, Nisha Alden MPH⁶, Nancy L. Spina MPH¹⁰, Melissa McMahon MPH¹¹, H. Keipp Talbot MD, MPH¹², Kyle P. Openo, DrPH^{13,14}, Chelsea McMullen MSc-GH¹⁵, Laurie Billing MPH¹⁶, Shikha Garg MD, MPH¹ ¹Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA USA, ² Chickasaw Nation Industries, ³ Oregon Health Authority, Portland, OR USA, ⁴ Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Lansing, MI USA, ⁵ Yale Emerging Infections Program, New Haven, CT USA, ⁶ Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Denver, CO USA, ⁷ New York State Department of Health Albany, NY USA, ⁸ Salt Lake County Health Department, Salt Lake City, UT USA, ⁹ Maryland Department of Health, Baltimore, MD USA, ¹⁰ California Emerging Infections Program, Oakland, CA USA, ¹¹ Minnesota Department of Health, St. Paul, MN USA, ¹² Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN USA, ¹³ Georgia Emerging Infections Program, Atlanta, GA USA, ¹⁴ Atlanta VA Medical Center, A GA USA, ¹⁵ New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, NM USA, ¹⁶ Ohio Department of Health, Columbus, OH USA, ¹⁰ Ohio Department of Health, Columbus, OH USA

Background

Influenza antiviral therapy is recommended for patients hospitalized with suspected or confirmed influenza illness, and early treatment initiation may improve outcomes ^{1,2}

Objectives: To 1) Evaluate temporal trends in influenza therapy use in patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza; and 2) Explore factors associated with delayed treatment initiation (3 or more days after onset of symptoms)

Methods

Population

 Residents from Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET) catchment area hospitalized with lab-confirmed influenza October 1 – April 30 during 2015-2016 through 2018-2019 influenza seasons



Methods

- Abstracted demographic, clinical, and treatment data
- All patients during 2015-2016 2016-2017 seasons
- Age-stratified random sample of patients ≥50-years and all patients <50-years during 2017-2018 – 2018-2019 seasons

Analysis

- Described characteristics of patients (unweighted counts and weighted percentages)
- Assessed proportion receiving treatment by season and age category – and trends over time using Cochran-Armitage test
- Among treated patients, multivariable logistic regression models used to evaluate factors associated with delayed treatment (3 or more days from symptom onset), adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and high-risk medical conditions, using replicate weights for variance assessment

Results

Table. Of 62,182 patients, most were older and had 1 or more high-risk medical conditions, and the median time from symptoms onset to admission was 3 days.

Characteristic	No. (%) or median (IQR)
Age, No. (%)	
0-17 yrs	6851 (9%)
18-49 yrs	11019 (15%)
50-64 yrs	14529 (22%)
65-74 yrs	10787 (19%)
75-84 yrs	10337 (18%)
≥85 yrs	9093 (16%)
Female, No. (%)	33845 (54%)
Race/ethnicity, No. (%)	
White, non-Hispanic	36059 (59%)
Black, non-Hispanic	12989 (20%)
Hispanic	5649 (9%)
Other, non-Hispanic	3451 (6%)
Unknown	4429 (7%)
High-risk conditions*, No. (%)	
0	11367 (17%)
1	16605 (26%)
2	15664 (26%)
≥3	18980 (31%)
Illness onset to admission, median (IQR) days	3 (2-5)

* High-risk conditions included: asthma, other chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, blood disorder, neurological condition, neuromuscular condition, immunosuppressive condition, chronic kidney disease, chronic liver disease

Figure. Percentage who received influenza antiviral therapy increased over time (Cochrane Armitage p<0.001 for all age groups) - 86% in 2015-2016 to 94% in 2018-2019.



Oseltamivir was used in 99% of those who received influenza antiviral treatment

- day of hospitalization

days from symptom onset).

Characteristic	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
Race/ethnicity		
White, non-Hispanic	Ref	Ref
Black, non-Hispanic	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	1.23 (1.13-1.33)
Hispanic	0.96 (0.89-1.03)	1.07 (0.97-1.19)
Other, non-Hispanic	1.00 (0.91-1.10)	1.06 (0.93-1.21)
Unknown	1.03 (0.95-1.12)	1.27 (1.13-1.43)
High-risk conditions		
0	Ref	Ref
1	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	1.05 (0.96-1.15)
2	1.04 (0.97-1.10)	1.09 (0.99-1.20)
≥3	1.07 (1.01-1.14)	1.21 (1.09-1.33)
1-day increase from illness onset	8.77 (8.05-9.57)	8.79 (8.06-9.60)
to admission		

table

Baloxavir used in 2% of patients who received treatment during the 2018-2019 season

Of those who received antiviral treatment:

62% started influenza therapy 3 or more days after symptom onset (median 3 days, IQR 2-5)

However, 87% started treatment on or prior to the first

Table. Black, non-Hispanic race/ethnicity, 3 or more compared to 0 highrisk conditions, and 1-day increase in time from symptoms onset to admission associated with late influenza antiviral initiation (3 or more

associated with late initiation of antiviral therapy in adjusted models (results not included in

Conclusions

In patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza, most received influenza antiviral therapy and coverage improved over time

However, a majority of treated patients started 3 or more days after symptom onset, driven by delayed entry into care

Uveki TM, Bernstein HH, Bradley JS, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America: 2018 Update on Diagnosis. ak Management of Seasonal Influenzaa, Clin Infect Dis, 2019 Mar 5:68(6):e1-e47 od MD. Paggiaro P. et al. Early administration of oral oseltamivir increases the benefits of influenza treatment. J Antimicro

Affiliates / Partners

