

# Pre/Post Comparison After Introduction of a Female External Urinary Collection Device



Nathan Jasperse MD, MBA<sup>1,3</sup>, Oscar Hernandez-Dominguez MD, MBA<sup>2,3</sup>, Jacob S Deyell<sup>3</sup>, Janani P Prasad<sup>3</sup>, Charlene Yuan<sup>3</sup>, Katherine M Kuza MD<sup>4</sup>, Areg Grigorian MD<sup>3</sup>, Jeffry Nahmias MD, MHPE<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of California, Los-Angeles Harbor, Department of Emergency Medicine; <sup>2</sup>Cleveland Clinic, Department of Surgery; <sup>4</sup>University of Southern California, Department of Anesthesiology

## Background

- Catheter associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) are the most common hospital acquired infections in the United States.
- External urinary collection devices (EUCDs) may serve as an alternative to indwelling urethral catheters (IUCs) and decrease the rate of CAUTIS.
- PureWick® is a novel female EUCD, with early case reports suggesting safe and efficacious use.
- No study to date has definitively proven benefit of PureWick® regarding reduction of CAUTIs.

## **Objectives**

We sought to compare the CAUTI rate and median indwelling catheter days before and after availability of *PureWick®* at a single academic institution, as well as provide a descriptive analysis of female medical patients receiving an EUCD.

## **Methods and Materials**

- Retrospective review of adult female medical patients admitted to a single academic center
- Inclusion: Non-pregnant female patients admitted to a non-surgical service in the 3 months before (PRE) and 12 months after (POST) *PureWick*® availability
- Primary outcomes: CAUTI rate before and after PureWick® introduction
- Secondary outcome: Median indwelling catheter days, PureWick® associated UTI rate, UTI rate
- Two groups were compared: PRE patients who received an IUC vs. POST patients who received an IUC and/or EUCD
- Chi-squared test for categorical variables and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables

## Results

- From 866 female patients meeting inclusion criteria, 296 received an EUCD in the POST cohort
- 673 patients received an IUC (261 (100%) PRE vs. 412 (68.1%) POST)
- There were no differences between the cohorts regarding age and comorbidities (all p>0.05)

**Table 1.** Characteristics and comorbidities of female catheterized patients admitted to a medical service before (PRE) and after (POST) PureWick implementation

	PRE	POST	
Characteristic	(n=261)	(n=605)	p-value
Age, year, median (IQR)	70 (53, 81)	72.0 (59, 82)	0.294
BMI, median (IQR)	24.8 (21.4, 29.1)	24.9 (21.3, 30.5)	0.831
Hospital LOS, days, median (IQR)	5 (3, 8.5)	7 (4, 12)	0.001
Indwelling catheter days, median (IQR)	2 (1, 5)	3 (1, 7)	<0.001
PureWick catheter days, median (IQR)	N/A	2 (1, 5)	N/A
Indwelling urinary catheter, n (%)	261 (100%)	412 (68.1%)	<0.001
PureWick, n (%)	N/A	296 (48.9%)	N/A
Medical comorbidities, n (%)			
Diabetes	95 (36.4%)	205 (33.9%)	0.476
CHF	51 (19.5%)	131 (21.7%)	0.484
ESRD	19 (7.3%)	36 (6.0%)	0.462
Dementia	29 (11.1%)	65 (10.7%)	0.873
Current malignancy	60 (23.0%)	126 (20.8%)	0.477
HIV infection	1 (0.4%)	2 (0.3%)	0.904

BMI = body mass index, LOS = length of stay, IQR = interquartile range, CHF = congestive heart failure, ESRD = end stage renal disease, HIV = human immunodeficiency virus

- Compared to the PRE cohort, the POST cohort had a higher rate of CAUTI (infections per 1,000 catheter days, 11.8 vs. 4.1, p=0.003) and a higher number of IUC days (median, 3 vs. 2 days, p<0.001)
- Compared to the PRE cohort, the POST cohort had a longer hospital length of stay (median, 7 vs. 5 days, p=0.001)
- Overall UTI rate was similar between the PRE and POST groups (infections per 1,000 patient days, 19.1 vs. 14.12, p=0.410)
- In the POST cohort, the rate of UTI associated with EUCD use was 11.3 infections per 1,000 device days
- Measurement of strict ins and outs (56.8%) was the most common indication for use of EUCD

#### **Table 2.** Urinary tract infection rates of female catheterized patients PRE and POST PureWick implementation

Characteristic	PRE	POST (n=605)	p-value
	(n=261)		
Urine culture, n (%)	114 (43.7%)	354 (58.5%)	<0.001
CAUTI, n (*)	4 (4.1)	38 (11.8)	0.003
PAUTI, n (*)	N/A	15 (11.3)	N/A
UTI, n (**)	36 (19.1)	97 (14.12)	0.410

\* CAUTI and PAUTI rate are presented as number of infections per 1,000 catheter days.

**Table 3.** Characteristics of female patients admitted to a medical service who received a PureWick catheter

	POST	
Characteristic	(n=296)	
Age, year, median (IQR)	75.0 (63, 85)	
BMI, median (IQR)	24.6 (21.5, 30.0)	
Hospital LOS, days, median (IQR)	6 (3, 11)	
Indwelling catheter days, median (IQR)	2 (1, 5)	
PureWick catheter days, median (IQR)	2 (1, 5)	
Indwelling urinary catheter, n (%)	107 (36.1%)	
PureWick, n (%)	296 (100%)	
Indication for catheterization, n (%)		
Urinary retention	41 (13.9%)	
Strict ins/outs	168 (56.8%)	
Incontinence	64 (21.6%)	
Surgery	1 (0.3%)	
Management of immobilized patient	12 (4.1%)	
Comfort	10 (3.4%)	
Provider service ordering catheter, n (%)	300	
Emergency medicine	2 (0.7%)	
Family medicine	14 (4.7%)	
Medicine	236 (79.7%)	
Neurology	44 (14.9%)	

## Discussion

- This pre/post analysis at a single institution found that both the CAUTI rate and median number of IUC days increased after introduction of an EUCD
- The increased number of IUC days in the POST cohort may be related to hospital length of stay, as the POST cohort had a significantly longer median length of stay
- Additionally, the increase in median IUC days may explain the increase in CAUTI rate in the POST group
- The similar rate of CAUTI and EUCD-associated UTI in the POST cohort may be due to selection bias, with EUCDs being ordered for patients who would not otherwise have received an IUC

## Conclusions

While EUCDs might appear to be a promising alternative to IUCs for female patients, this single center pre/post analysis found that both the median number of IUC days and the CAUTI rate increased after introduction of a single EUCD. Further research is needed to clarify if female EUCDs can be effective in decreasing IUC days and/or CAUTI rates prior to any widespread adoption.



PureWick ®

#### Contact

Nathan Jasperse, PGY-1 University of California, Los Angeles Harbor Email: njasperse@dhs.lacounty.gov

## References

Stone PW, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, Herzig CT, Weiner LM, Furuya EY, Dick A, Larson E. State of infection prevention in US hospitals enrolled in the National Health and Safety Network. American Journal of Infection Control. 2014 Feb;

Lo E, Nicolle LE, Coffin SE, Gould C, Maragakis LL, Meddings J, Pegues DA, Pettis AM, Saint S, Yokoe DS. Strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2014 Sep; 35 Suppl 2:S32-47.

Newton C, Call E, Chan KSL. Measuring safety, effectiveness and ease of use of PureWick in the management of urinary incontinence in bedbound women: case studies. White Paper. 2016 Jan.

Eckert L, Mattia L, Patel S, Okumura R, Reynolds P, Stuiver I. Reducing the Risk of Indwelling Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Female Patients by Implementing an Alternative Female External Urinary Collection Device: A Quality Improvement Project. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2020;47(1):50-53. doi:10.1097/WON.0000000000000001

<sup>\*\*</sup> UTI rate is presented as number of infections per 1,000 patient days.