ID Week 2020 Submission ID: 903465

Comparative Activity of Ceftolozane-Tazobactam (C/T) and Ceftazidime-Avibactam (CZA) against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PSA) from Patients with Cystic Fibrosis (CF)

Maxwell J. Lasko, David P. Nicolau, Joseph L. Kuti Center for Anti-Infective Research and Development, Hartford Hospital, Hartford, CT USA

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute pulmonary exacerbations (APE) are a frequent cause of hospitalization for patients with CF. PSA is among the most common pathogen implicated in CF APE. Due to repetitive antibiotic courses, multidrug resistance (MDR) must be considered leaving few available intravenous antibiotic options. CZA and C/T are newer anti-PSA antibiotics that have been used to treat CF APE, but little data are available to compare their in vitro activity.

Methods: Non-duplicate, contemporary, clinical PSA (n=105) isolates were acquired from 85 patients during CF APE from 3 US hospital systems. MICs were assessed in at least triplicate by reference broth microdilution for C/T, CZA, aztreonam (ATM), cefepime (FEP), ceftazidime (CAZ), ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LVX), meropenem (MEM), piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP), and tobramycin (TOB). Current CLSI breakpoints were used to define susceptibility. Activity was further assessed in MDR, CAZ and MEM non-susceptible (NS) phenotypes.

Results: The mean patient age at isolate retrieval was 31 years (IQR: 21-43), and 20% were under 18 years. Mucoid morphology was observed in 48 (46%) isolates, and MDR defined in 41 (39%). Rates of susceptibility (MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀/%S) were: C/T (1/4/92%), CZA (2/8/90%), CAZ (4/64/68%), TZP (8/256/67%), TOB (2/32/63%), MEM (1/32/58%), ATM (8/64/58%), FEP (8/≥128/50%), CIP (2/8/27%), and LVX (4/16/24%). A mucoid phenotype did not alter %S (non-mucoid vs. mucoid) for C/T (93 vs. 92%) or CZA (91 vs. 88%). Among the 41 MDR PSA, activity was 2/16/83% and 4/16/76% for C/T and CZA, respectively. C/T, CZA, and MEM %S was 77, 69, and 23% for the 35 CAZ-NS isolates. C/T, CZA, and CAZ %S was 84, 77, and 39% for MEM-NS isolates.

Conclusion: These contemporary PSA from patients with CF displayed low susceptibility rates to most β -lactams, fluoroquinolones, and tobramycin, and MDR was common. C/T and CZA retained similarly high susceptibility against these isolates, including MDR strains and CAZ-NS/MEM-NS phenotypes. These data justify that both C/T and CZA may be considered for CF APE due to PSA nonsusceptible to current standard of care treatment options.

INTRODUCTION

- Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a frequent cause of acute pulmonary exacerbation in Cystic Fibrosis (CF)¹
- Multidrug resistance (MDR) is common in patients with CF
- Ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozane-tazobactam are newer betalactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination antibiotics with potent activity against P. aeruginosa
- Data comparing in vitro activity of these antibiotics against CF isolates are lacking

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate in vitro activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozanetazobactam compared with commonly used antibiotics against a contemporary set of *P. aeruginosa* isolated from CF acute pulmonary exacerbation

METHODS

Antibiotics

- Analytical powders purchased for preparation of MIC trays
- Aztreonam (ATM)
- Cefepime (FEP)
- Ceftazidime (CAZ)
- Ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA)
- Ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) Tobramycin (TOB)

Isolates

• Non-duplicate clinical *P. aeruginosa* were collected from patients during CF pulmonary exacerbation at CF centers

Ciprofloxacin (CIP)

Levofloxacin (LVX)

Meropenem (MEM)

Piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP)

- Hartford, CT
- Baltimore, MD
- Indianapolis, IN
- Collected information:
- Patient age at time of exacerbation
- Mucoid/non-mucoid phenotype

Broth Microdilution

- Broth microdilution (BMD) travs were prepared using a Biomek 3000 (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA)
- BMD was completed in triplicate for each isolate as described in CLSI M100²
- PSA 27853 was used as quality control each experimental day

Interpretation

- Modal MICs were used for category interpretation using CLSI breakpoints²
- Multi-drug resistance was defined as resistant to ≥3 antibiotic classes
- C/T and CZA activity was compared by:
- Non-mucoid vs. mucoid phenotype
- MDR isolates
- CAZ non-susceptible isolates
- MEM non-susceptible isolates

RESULTS

Table 1. Isolate characteristics (n=	105)
Site of Collection	
Hartford, CT	35 (33%)
Baltimore, MD	33 (32%)
Indianapolis, IN	37 (35%)
Median age	31 (IQR: 21-43)
Under 18	21 (20%)
Mucoid phenotype	48 (46%)





ATM, aztreonam; FEP, cefepime; CAZ, ceftazidime; CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; C/T, ceftolozane-tazobactam; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LVX, levofloxacin; MEM, meropenem; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; TOB, tobramycin;

Table 2. MIC_{50} , MIC_{90} , and categorical interpretation for 10 antibiotics against 105 CF <i>P. aeruginosa</i>		Table 4. CZA, C/T, CAZ, and MEM potency against CAZ- and MEM-NS <i>P. aeruginosa</i>								
Antibiotic	MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	MICգո (µg/ml)	S/I/R (%)	CAZ NS (n=35)			MEM NS (n=44)			
ATM	8	64	58/10/32	Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent	
FEP	8	≥128	50/20/30	CZA S	24	69%	CZA S	34	77%	
CAZ	4	64	68/10/22	CZA R		31%	CZA R	10	23%	
CZA	2/4	8/4	90/-/10	CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/n	ni)	4	CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		2	
C/T	1/4	4/4	92/2/6	CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/n	nl)	16	CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		16	
CIP	2	8	27/14/59	C/T S	27	77%	C/T S	37	84%	
LEV	4	16	24/13/63	C/T R	7	20%	C/T R	6	14%	
MEM	1	32	58/6/36	C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/m	l)	4	C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		2	
TZP	8/4	256/4	67/13/20	C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/m	l)	16	C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		16	
ТОВ	2	32	63/13/24	MEM S	8	23%	CAZS	17	39%	
S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant;			MEM R	26	74%	CAZ R	19	43%		
MIC ₅₀ , minimum inhibitory concentration of 50% of isolates;			MEM MIC ₅₀ (µg/	ml)	16	CAZ MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		16		
MIC_{90} , minimum inhibitory concentration of 90% of isolates			MEM MIC ₉₀ (µg/	ml)	32	CAZ MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		≥128		
Table 3. CZA and C/T potency against MDR-P. aeruginosa				Table 5. CZA and C/T potency against non-mucoid and mucoid P. aeruginosa						
MDR Isolates (n=41)				Non-M	lucoid NS (n:	=57)	Mucoid NS (n=48)			
Category	Co	unt	Percent	Cotogony	Count	Dereent	Cotogony	Count	Doroont	
CZA S	3	1	76%	Calegory	Count	Percent	Calegory	Count	Percent	
CZA R	1	0	24%	CZA S	52	91%	CZA S	42	88%	
CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/m	l)	4				0170				
CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/m	l	16		CZA R	5	9%	CZA R	6	12%	
C/T S	3	4	83%							
C/T R	5	5	12%	C/T S	53	93%	C/T S	44	92%	
C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	2		C/T R	4	7%	C/T R	2	4%	
C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)	16		0/110	•	170	0,110	-	170	

Table 2. MIC ₅₀ ,antibiotics again	MIC ₉₀ , and cated nst 105 CF <i>P. ae</i>	gorical interpreta <i>ruginosa</i>	ition for 10	Table 4. CZA, C P. aeruginosa	C/T, CAZ, ar	nd MEM p	otency against CA	Z- and ME	M-NS
Antibiotic	MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	MIC₀₀ (µg/ml)	S/I/R (%)	CAZ NS (n=35)			MEM NS (n=44)		
ATM	8	64	58/10/32	Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
FEP	8	≥128	50/20/30	CZA S	24	69%	CZA S	34	77%
CAZ	4	64	68/10/22	CZA R		31%	CZA R	10	23%
CZA	2/4	8/4	90/-/10	CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/m	l)	4	CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		2
C/T	1/4	4/4	92/2/6	CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/m	l)	16	CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		16
CIP	2	8	27/14/59	C/T S	27	77%	C/T S	37	84%
LEV	4	16	24/13/63	C/T R	7	20%	C/T R	6	14%
MEM	1	32	58/6/36	C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml))	4	C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		2
TZP	8/4	256/4	67/13/20	C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml))	16	C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		16
TOB	2	32	63/13/24	_ MEM S	, 8	23%	CAZ S	17	39%
S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant;			MEM R	26	74%	CAZ R	19	43%	
MIC ₅₀ , minimum inhibitory concentration of 50% of isolates;			MEM MIC ₅₀ (µg/n	nl)	16	CAZ MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		16	
MIC ₉₀ , minimum inhibitory concentration of 90% of isolates			MEM MIC ₉₀ (µg/n	nl)	32	CAZ MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)		≥128	
Table 3. CZA a	nd C/T potency a	igainst MDR- <i>P. a</i>	aeruginosa	Table 5. CZA ar	nd C/T pote	ncy again	st non-mucoid and	mucoid P.	aeruginosa
MDR Isolates (n=41)			Non-Mu	ucoid NS (n:	=57)	Mucoid NS (n=48)			
Category	Со	unt	Percent	Cotogony	Count	Doroont	Cotogory	Count	Dereent
CZA S	3	1	76%	Category	Count	Percent	Calegory	Count	Fercent
CZA R	1(0	24%	CZA S	52	91%	CZA S	42	88%
CZA MIC ₅₀ (µg/m	I)	4				0.70			
CZA MIC ₉₀ (µg/m	I	16		CZA R	5	9%	CZA R	6	12%
C/T S	34	4	83%						
C/T R	5	5	12%	C/T S	53	93%	C/T S	44	92%
C/T MIC ₅₀ (µg/ml))	2		C/T R	4	7%	C/T R	2	4%
C/T MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml))	16		0/110	т	170	0/11	2	770

Correspondence Joseph L. Kuti, PharmD, FIDP Center for Anti-Infective Research and Development Hartford Hospital 80 Seymour Street, Hartford, CT 06102 Tel: 860-972-3612 Email: joseph.kuti@hhchealth.org

Figure 1. MIC distributions for 10 anti-pseudomonal antibiotics against 105 P. aeruginosa from patients with CF (horizontal lines represent CLSI breakpoints)

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

- Low susceptibility was observed for the fluoroquinolones tobramycin, and older β-lactam antibiotics against these CF P. aeruginosa isolates
- C/T and CZA retained high rates of susceptibility, including sub-groups of:
 - MDR *P. aeruginosa*
 - CAZ non-susceptible *P. aeruginosa*
 - MEM non-susceptible *P. aeruginosa*

Both C/T and CZA may be viable considerations for CF P. aeruginosa acute pulmonary exacerbations when nonsusceptibility to meropenem or ceftazidime is suspected

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Patricia Simner, PhD from Johns Hopkins; Colleen Sakon, PharmD and Vera Winn, MS-CLS, MLS(ASCP) from University of Indiana Health, and Amity Roberts, PhD and Pamela Hamilton from Hartford Hospital for providing isolates. We would also like to thank Debora Santini, Jennifer Tabor-Rennie, Alissa Padgett, Elizabeth Cyr, Janice Cunningham, Lauren McLellan, Nicole DeRosa, Ceara Wettemann, Elias Mullane, Rebecca Stewart, Christian Gill and Elizabeth Martin from the Center for Anti-Infective Research and Development for their assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry. 2018



2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute M100, 30th Edition