

A collaboration between University of Minnesota, University of Minnesota Physicians and Fairview Health Services



Creation of an emergency department-specific urine antibiogram and evaluation of urinary tract infection prescribing practices at a tertiary academic medical center

Eleanor K. Broadbent, PharmD^{1,2}; Caitlin Schanz^{1,3}; Kimberly D. Boeser¹, PharmD, MPH, BCIDP; Jocelyn Mason, PharmD, BCIDP^{1,4}; Laura E. Norton, MD, MS⁵; Elizabeth B. Hirsch, PharmD³

¹M Health Fairview, University of Minnesota Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN, USA; ²Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA; ³University of Minnesota College of Pharmacy, Minneapolis, MN, USA; ⁴Kaiser Permanente Northwest, Portland, OR, USA; ⁵M Health Fairview, University of Minnesota Masonic Children’s Hospital, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Contact Information:
Eleanor Broadbent, PharmD
Department of Pharmacy
Boston Medical Center
850 Harrison Ave,
Boston, MA 02118
eleanor.broadbent@bmc.org
Pager: 617-638-5795
(+3617)

BACKGROUND

- Inpatient hospital settings have been the focus of most antimicrobial stewardship (AS) practices; however there has been a recent shift to include outpatient populations.
- In June 2019, the Joint Commission published requirements for accredited ambulatory healthcare organizations in the United States to implement AS, which included urgent and immediate care practice sites (1).
- Results from cultures collected in the University of Minnesota Medical Center (UMMC) emergency departments (EDs) are reviewed by a team of nurses who create an abbreviated treatment plan under physician supervision.
- Many institutions across the US have implemented pharmacist-driven culture review protocols in ED settings (2-3).

OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate microbiological data and prescribing practices for urinary tract infection (UTI) patients in the UMMC EDs.
- To create an ED-specific urine isolate antibiogram in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M39-A4 guidelines.
- **Primary Endpoints:** Susceptibilities of organisms isolated from culture, antibiotic prescribed at discharge (agent, dose, frequency, and duration)
- **Secondary Endpoints:** 96-hour ED readmission, 30-day inpatient readmission, empiric drug-bug mismatch rates

METHODS

- This retrospective cohort study included adult patients admitted to two different EDs at UMMC and diagnosed with a UTI between January 1st, 2018 and December 31st, 2018.
- Patients were excluded if they were subsequently admitted to an inpatient unit. Repeat urine isolates growing the same organism as the index isolate were excluded from the antibiogram.
- Diagnosis of cystitis versus pyelonephritis was based on ICD-10 coding.
- Data including urinalysis and culture results, susceptibilities, empiric outpatient antibiotic selection, and readmissions were collected.

RESULTS

Table 1. 2018 Antibiotic Susceptibility Rates for ED *E. coli* Urine Isolates at UMMC (n = 258)

Antibiotic	AMP	SAM	CFZ	CRO	CIP	NIT	SXT
Combined (%)	49.2	55.8	85.7	94.2	82.6	94.6	69.4
Cystitis (%)	56.8	64.2	90.5	95.8	86.3	93.7	71.6
Pyelonephritis(%)	44.7	50	82	92.7	82	94.7	68.7

ESBL rate = 16/258 (6.2%)
AMP = ampicillin; SAM = ampicillin/sulbactam; CFZ = cefazolin; CRO = ceftriaxone; CIP = ciprofloxacin; NIT = nitrofurantoin; SXT = sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim

Table 2. Frequently Prescribed Antibiotics for UTIs in the ED

Cystitis (n = 124)	Pyelonephritis (n = 226)
NIT (29.8%)	CIP (32.3%)
LEX (25%)	CDR (14.2%)
CIP (14.5%)	NIT (12.8%)
CDR (12.1%)	LEX (11.5%)
SXT (10.5%)	LVX (10.2%)

CDR = cefdinir; LEX = cephalexin; LVX = levofloxacin

- A total of 350 unique isolates meeting criteria were evaluated for antibiogram inclusion.
- No organism other than *E. coli* (Table 1) exceeded the total number of isolates (n = 30) needed to create an antibiogram, based on CLSI recommendations.
- Drug-bug mismatches were more common in patients with pyelonephritis, and occurred in a total of 19.1% of all patients.
- 96-hour ED re-admission and 30-day inpatient readmission rates were 4.3% and 9.1%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

- Based on the ED-specific urine antibiogram generated, NIT (cystitis) and CIP (pyelonephritis) could be considered first-line agents for empiric treatment of UTIs in our institution’s EDs.
- SXT should be avoided as empiric treatment for UTIs given *E. coli* resistance rates exceeded 20%.
- A high rate of oral beta-lactam prescribing was noted for both cystitis and pyelonephritis diagnoses (>30%), despite IDSA guideline recommendations not including these as first-line treatment options for uncomplicated UTIs.
- The ESBL rate in our *E. coli* urine isolates (6.2%) was found to be relatively low compared to recent literature findings (36%) (4).
- Diagnosis of cystitis versus pyelonephritis was based on ICD-10 coding, and diagnoses were not corroborated against documented signs and symptoms.
- Appropriateness of urinalysis and urine culture collection was not assessed.

NEXT STEPS

- These data will be used to develop a treatment algorithm / educational tools aimed at improving appropriateness of UTI antibiotic prescribing in the ED.
- Drug-bug mismatch patients will be further analyzed to determine if pharmacist-driven prescription and culture review would be more effective than the current state to ensure optimal patient outcomes.
- These urine antibiogram results will be combined with future years’ data to create a more robust antibiogram with the inclusion of other organisms.

REFERENCES

1. The Joint Commission. Antimicrobial Stewardship in Ambulatory Health Care. R3 Report. Issue 23, June 20, 2019.
2. Randolph TC, Parker A, Meyer L, et al. Effect of a pharmacist-managed culture review process on antimicrobial therapy in an emergency department. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2011;68:916-9.
3. Santiago RD, Bazan JA, Brown NV, et al. Evaluation of pharmacist impact on culture review process for patients discharged from the emergency department. *Hosp Pharm.* 2016;51:738-43.
4. Doi Y, Park YS, Rivera JI, et al. Community-associated extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* infection in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56:641-8.