Abstract #168

## Washington University in St.Louis School of Medicine

# Creation and Internal Validation of a Clinical Predictive Model for Fluconazole Resistance in Patients with *Candida* Bloodstream Infection

Adriana M. Rauseo, MD<sup>1</sup>: Margaret A. Olsen, PhD, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Lindsey Larson, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Dustin Stwalley, MA<sup>1</sup>; Kevin Hsueh, MD<sup>1</sup>; William G. Powderly, MD<sup>1</sup>; Andrej Spec, MD, MSCl<sup>1</sup>

## BACKGROUND

- Candida is a common cause of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections associated with high morbidity and mortality.
- Fluconazole is recommended as first-line therapy in patients with candidemia considered low risk for fluconazole resistance.
- Prolonged echinocandin use is due to lack of a clinical mechanism to determine risk of resistance and poor access to rapid sensitivity.
- We aimed to develop a clinical predictive model (CPM) to identify patients at low risk for fluconazole resistance where first-line use of fluconazole therapy would be acceptable without requiring resistance testing.

### METHODS

Study Design and Setting: Retrospective cohort analysis at Barnes-Jewish Hospital from January 2013 to January 2018.

**Inclusion criteria:** All hospitalized patients ≥18 years with *Candida* spp. isolated from at least one blood culture (index blood culture).

### Data collected:

- Demographics, comorbidities, procedures, medications, vital signs, laboratory parameters and microbiology data.
- ICD-9/10 CM and Elixhauser comorbidity index were determined during index admission and within 365 days prior.
- Prior medications were documented within 90 days of index blood culture.
- Microbiology data was collected within 365 days prior to index admission.
- Fluconazole resistant (Fluc-R) *Candida* was determined using Sensititre™ YeastOne<sup>™</sup> YO9 AST Plate, with cutoffs for each *Candida* species based on CLSI performance standards for antifungal testing (M60).

### Model development and Statistical analysis:

- Bivariate analyses were performed to evaluate the potential risk factors. Multivariable logistic regression model was developed using backwards stepwise regression including only variables with clinical plausibility and p < 0.1 in bivariate analyses.
- Bootstrap validation and backward stepwise elimination on bootstrapped samples was performed to test individual variable stability and estimate CI.
- Graph of observed vs expected values was used to assess model performance and c-statistic was used to assess discrimination.
- Performed with SAS v9.4 Software (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA). For descriptive statistics, used  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact tests for categorical variables and Mann- Whitney U test for continuous variables, as appropriate for nonnormally distributed variables.
- All statistical tests were 2-tailed and significance was set at  $p \le 0.05$ .

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

## RESULTS

Total of 539 patients with *Candida* bloodstream infection identified during the study period and 72 (13.4%) had Fluc-R. Patients with Fluc-R candidemia were significantly more likely to have:

• Hematological malignancy, BMT, MDS, neutropenia, immunodeficiency, bacterial septicemia, CDI and enterocolitis 1 year prior.

• Chemotherapy and certain medications (antifungals and other anti-infectives) 90 days before positive blood culture (Table 1). Increased risk of Fluc-R in the multivariable logistic regression model was associated with age, receipt of azoles 90 days prior to candidemia, bacterial septicemia, BMT, and MDS within 1 year prior to index admission (Table 2).

The model predicted fluconazole resistance well (c-statistic 0.788).

The variables in the final selected model were tested for stability by determining their inclusion using backward selection in 500 bootstrapped samples. All variables were retained in at least 65% the bootstrapped samples, except BMT which was retained in 44%. The plot shows good calibration of the model with very small differences between observed and expected probability of developing Fluc-R candidemia (Figure 1).

TABLE 1. Comparison of characteristics between patients with Fluc-NR and Fluc-R candidemia						0.05 - Actual
Variable	Fluc-NR N=467	Fluc-R N=72	Total N=539	р	OR (95% CI)	0.00 -
	N (%)	N=72 N (%)	N (%)			0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60
Age (median [IOR])	58 (44-67)	55 (47-61)		0.1452		Predicted Probability
Female	199 (42 61)	32 (44 44)	231 (43 52)	0.7700	1 07 (0 65-1 77)	
Non-white	141 (30,19)	19 (26.39)	160 (28,29)	0.5108	0.82 (0.47-1.45)	Bars included on the top parameter of the graph indicate the number of individuals, illustrating the distribution
Comorbidities		()		0.0100		or the sample.
Diabetes mellitus	208 (44.54)	32 (44.44)	240 (44.49)	0.9879	0.99 (0.60-1.64)	
Valvular heart disease	119 (25.48)	20 (27.78)	139 (26.63)	0.6785	1.12 (0.64-1.96)	TABLE 2. Multivariable logistic regression of independent risk factors for Fluc-R
Renal failure	182 (38.97)	23 (31.94)	205 (35.45)	0.2529	0.73 (0.43-1.24)	
Liver disease	123 (26.34)	16 (22.22)	139 (24.48)	0.4574	0.79 (0.44-1.44)	Variable OR (95% CI)
Metastatic cancer	69 (14.78)	11 (15.28)	80 (15.03)	0.9111	1.04 (0.52-2.07)	Bacterial septicemia 2.14 (1.20-3.79)
Drug abuse	81 (17.34)	6 (8.33)	87 (12.83)	0.0530	0.43 (0.18-1.03)	Prior azole use 5.47 (2.92-10.26)
Hematological malignancy <sup>a</sup>	84 (17.99)	41 (56.94)	125 (37.46)	<.0001	6.03 (3.5-10.1)	Bone marrow transplant 2.63 (1.31-5.29)
Solid organ malignancy	158 (33.83)	32 (44.44)	190 (39.13)	0.0794	1.56 (0.94-2.58)	Myelodysplastic syndrome 3 13 (1 1/-8 60)
Other potential predisposing factors					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Bone marrow transplant	49 (10.49)	31 (43.06)	80 (26.77)	<.0001	6.45 (3.71-11.2)	Note: Fluc-R, fluconazole resistant
Solid organ transplant	26 (5.57)	3 (4.17)	29 (4.87)	0.6239	0.73 (0.21-2.50)	Adjustment for age was performed using a cubic spline.
Myelodysplastic syndrome	14 (3)	13 (18.06)	27 (10.53)	<.0001	7.12 (3.19-15.90)	
Neutropenia (ANC <1500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	78 (16.70)	33 (45.83)	111 (31.26)	<.0001	4.21 (2.50-7.12)	CONCLUSION
Chemotherapy	81 (17.34)	37 (51.39)	118 (34.36)	<.0001	5.03 (2.99-8.47)	
Immunodeficiency	246 (52.68)	55 (76.39)	301 (64.53)	0.0002	2.90 (1.63-5.15)	• We identified five risk factors (age, receipt of prior azole, bacterial septicemia,
Bacterial septicemia	150 (32.12)	40 (55.56)	190 (43.84)	0.0001	2.64 (1.59-4.37)	BMT and MDS) that were significantly associated with risk fluconazole resistance.
C. difficile infection	13 (2.78)	8 (11.11)	21 (6.94)	0.0007	4.36 (1.73-10.9)	
Bacterial enterocolitis	45 (9.64)	22 (30.56)	67 (20.1)	<.0001	4.12 (2.29-7.42)	• Our CPM provides a notential tool for identifying natients at low risk for
Bacterial peritonitis	14 (3)	5 (6.94)	19 (4.97)	0.0910	2.41 (0.84-6.92)	
Total parenteral nutrition	264 (56.53)	43 (59.72)	307 (58.12)	0.6107	1.14 (0.68-1.88)	fluconazole resistant candidemia to receive empiric therapy with azoles and
Central venous catheter	191 (40.90)	34 (47.22)	225 (44.06)	0.3112	1.29 (0.78-2.12)	reduce use of echinocandins.
Urinary catheter	145 (31.05)	26 (36.11)	171 (33.58)	0.3903	1.25 (0.74-2.10)	
Dialysis	57 (12.21)	5 (6.94)	62 (9.57)	0.1928	0.53 (0.20-1.38)	<ul> <li>Further studies are needed to evaluate utility in other populations and perform</li> </ul>
Medications						external validation.
Azoles	59 (12.63)	39 (54.17)	98 (33.4)	<.0001	8.17 (4.77-13.9)	
Other antifungals	155 (33.19)	44 (61.11)	199 (47.15)	<.0001	3.16 (1.89-5.27)	
Dapsone	4 (0.86)	11 (15.28)	15 (8.07)	<.0001	29.87 (6.44-67.59)	Funding by:
Antibiotics	409 (87.58)	69 (95.83)	478 (91.70)	0.0396	3.26 (0.99-10.7)	Washington University Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences grant UL1TR002345 from the National
Sulfonamide	32 (6.85)	13 (18.06)	45 (12.45)	0.0014	2.99 (1.48-6.02)	Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH).
Antiviral	111 (23.77)	40 (55.56)	151 (39.66)	<.0001	4.00 (2.40-6.68)	Contact Information:
Antiherpes antivirals	101 (21.63)	37 (51.39)	138 (36.51)	<.0001	3.83 (2.29-6.39)	Adriana M. Rauseo, MD
Other antivirals	15 (3.21)	6 (8.33)	21 (5.77)	0.0366	2.73 (1.02-7.30)	660 S. Euclid Ave. Box 8051, St. Louis, MO 63110
Note: Fluc-NR, fluconazole non-resistant; Flu-	R, fluconazole resistant. alncl	udes leukemia, multiple my	eloma, non-Hodgkin's lymph	noma		a.rauseoacevedo@wustl.edu





![](_page_0_Picture_48.jpeg)

### FIGURE 1. Graph comparing observed vs expected probability of Fluc-R