Decreased Hospital Readmission After Programmatic Strengthening of an Outpatient Parenteral Antimicrobial Therapy (OPAT) Program

Ul Health



Gaurav Agnihotri BS¹, Alan E. Gross PharmD², Minji Seok BA¹, Cheng Yu Yen BPS², Farah Khan PharmD², Laura M. Ebbitt PharmD³, Susan C. Bleasdale MD¹, Monica K. Sikka MD⁴, Andrew B. Trotter MD, MPH¹

¹University of Illinois College of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA; ²University of Illinois College of Pharmacy, Chicago, Illinois, USA ³University of Kentucky College of Pharmacy, Lexington, KY, USA; ⁴Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Background

- Many OPAT programs face challenges in obtaining necessary program staff due to limited data examining the impact of a dedicated OPAT team on patient outcomes [1,2].
- **Objective**: compare OPAT-related readmission rates among patients receiving OPAT before and after the implementation of an infectious disease (ID) physician & registered nurse (MD/RN)-led OPAT program.

Methods

Study Design:

Retrospective quasi-experiment comparing adult patients discharged on intravenous (IV) antibiotics from the University of Illinois Hospital before and after implementation of programmatic changes to strengthen the OPAT program.

Definitions of Intervention Groups:

- Pre-intervention group (1/1/2012 to 8/1/2013): individual physicians coordinated OPAT [3].
- <u>Post-intervention group (10/1/2017 to 1/1/2019)</u>: dedicated OPAT nurse provided full time support to the treating physicians through care coordination and communication. In addition, a dedicated ID physician oversaw the program. The ID physician lead developed protocols for lab monitoring and management, and enhanced documentation standards.

Data Analysis:

Factors associated with readmission for OPAT-related problems at a significance level of p< 0.1 in univariate analysis were tested in a forward stepwise multinomial logistic regression to identify independent predictors of readmission.

Results

Table

Patier

Age, y (IQR) Male s

ANTIN

Bone a infecti **CNS** in

Skin/ s infecti Genita infecti Intra-a infectio Endoca

Pneum

Other

OPAT

Home

Skilled

Subac rehabi Infusio

- (p=0.001).

AF (C1 C%)Post-intervention N= 355P-valueaction57 (46-66)52 (43-60).068action4F (C1 C%)184 (F1 8%)126	ention				
N= 73 N= 355 years, median 57 (46-66) 52 (43-60) .068					
A = (C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C					
sex 45 (61.6%) 184 (51.8%) .126					
VICROBIAL INDICATIONS					
and joint 41 (56.2%) 133 (37.5%) .003					
nfection 13 (17.8%) 34 (9.6%) .041					
soft tissue 6 (8.2%) 29 (8.2%) .989					
cal/urinary tract 2 (2.7%) 36 (10.1%) .043 tion .043					
abdominal 2 (2.7%) 34 (9.6%) .055 tion					
carditis 1 (1.4%) 11 (3.1%) .700					
monia 0 4 (1.1%) >0.999					
· 8 (11%) 74 (20.8%) .051					
ADMINISTRATION LOCATION					
e 44 (60.3%) 190 (53.5%) .291					
d nursing facility 22 (30.1%) 57 (16.1%) .005					
cute 7 (9.6%) 105 (29.6%) <.001 oilitation facility					
on center 0 1 (0.3%) >0.999					

After implementation of the MD/RN-led OPAT program, the readmission rate due to OPAT-related complications decreased from 17.8% (13/73) to 6.5% (23/355)

OPAT-related readmission reasons: infection recurrence/progression (56%), adverse drug reaction (28%), or line-associated issues (17%).



Division of Infectious Diseases

Address correspondence to: Andrew B. Trotter MD, trottera@uic.edu

Table 2. Factors independently associated with hospital readmission in OPAT patients

Risk factor	Readmitted N= 36	Not Readmitted N = 392	Univariate analysis P- value	Multivariate analysis Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Enrollment in strengthened OPAT program	23 (63.9%)	332 (84.7%)	0.001	0.327 (0.152 – 0.702); p=0.004
Vancomycin, n (%)	18 (50%)	114 (29.1%)	0.009	2.57 (1.26 – 5.27); p=0.01
OPAT treatment duration, days, median (IQR)	35.5 (15.75- 41.75)	25 (12-36)	0.005	0.991 (0.982 – 0.999); p=0.027

Conclusion

- An OPAT program with infectious disease physician oversight and dedicated staff at a large academic tertiary care hospital was independently associated with decreased risk for OPAT-related readmission.
- This provides critical evidence to substantiate additional resources being dedicated to OPAT by health systems in the future.

References

- Tice AD, Rehm SJ, Dalovisio JR, Bradley JS, Martinelli LP, Graham DR, Gainer RB, Kunkel MJ, Yancey RW, Williams DN. Practice guidelines for outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. Clinical infectious diseases. 2004;38(12):1651-71.
- 2. Willeford W, Carr JA, Lee RA, Patel M, Heath S, Baddley JW, McCarty TP. 1937. A Dedicated OPAT Program Reduces Readmission and Complications Rates. InOpen Forum Infectious Diseases 2018 Nov (Vol. 5, No. suppl_1, pp. S558-S559). US: Oxford University Press.
- 3. Means L, Bleasdale S, Sikka M, Gross AE. Predictors of hospital readmission in patients receiving outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy. 2016;36(8):934-9.