



Antimicrobial Stewardship Incorporating New Antimicrobials for Use against Multi-Drug Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Cystic Fibrosis Jinhee Jo, Pharm.D, Anne J. Gonzales-Luna, Pharm.D, BCIDP, Kevin W. Garey, Pharm.D, MS, FASHP Department of Pharmacy Practice and Translational Research, The University of Houston College of Pharmacy, Houston, TX

Poster# 195

BACKGROUND

- Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a life-limiting autosomal recessive disorder that affects approximately 80,000 people worldwide and at least 30,000 in the U.S¹
- According to the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry 2018, nearly half of the registered patient population (45.3%) cultured positive for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA); of which, 28.3% were chronically infected with PA¹
- Progressively worsening of lung function marked by a decrease in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) typically begins with recurrent PA infections in CF patients, and overtime eradication becomes very unlikely once PA mutate into mucoid variants²
- Chronic PA living in the CF lungs often develop to be multidrug-resistant (MDR) via various adaptation mechanisms and are associated increased morbidity and mortality^{3,4}
- Ceftolozane/Tazobactam (C/T), a novel second generation beta-lactam plus beta-lactamase inhibitor effective against MDR-PA, was most recently approved by the FDA for hospitalacquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia⁵
- C/T has shown benefits over other standards of therapy in selected populations with MDR-PA infections; however, studies are lacking in CF population⁶
- single-center Based our previous on surveillance study (2017-2019), C/T was used in for CF exacerbation (11%) in a number of patients harboring MDR-PA, but clinical outcomes compared to other therapies were not assessed

OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate the current use and antimicrobial stewardship of C/T in CF patients with MDR-PA related pulmonary exacerbations (PEx)
- To compare clinical outcomes of C/T-based therapy to non-C/T based therapy

Respiratory culture positive for MDR-PA from CF patients at Baylor St. Luke's Medical Center, Houston, TX (2016-2019)

C/T use related patients v

RESULTS

| Table 1. Baseline Demographic Characteristics | | | Table 2. Hospital and Antibiotics Courses | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|---------------|--------------------|
| Baseline characteristics | C/T (n=18) | Controls (n=38) | | C/T (n=18) | Controls (n=38) |
| Male, n (%) | 7 (38.9) | 19 (50.0) | Length of stay, mean (days) | 20.5 ± 14.5 | 6.3 ± 2.5 |
| Age (yrs) | 27.7 ± 8.9 | 28.4 ± 9.8 | ICU stay, n (%) | 8 (44.4) | 1 (2.6) |
| Caucasian, n (%) | 10 (55.6) | 26 (68.4) | Days to infectious diseases consult since admission, | 3 ± 3.1 | _ |
| Weight (kg) | 50.8 ± 10.7 | 56.3 ± 11.3 | mean (days) | | |
| BMI mean (kg/m ²) | 195+30 | D 20.6 ± 3.5 Monotherapy, n (%) Dual therapy, n (%) | Monotherapy, n (%) | 5 (27.8) | 4 (10.5) |
| | 19.9 ± 9.0 | | Dual therapy, n (%) | 10 (55.6) | 33 (86.8) |
| F508 homozygous, n (%) | 7 (38.9) | 19 (50.0) | Aminoglycoside use, | | |
| CFTR modulator therapy use, n (%) | 6 (33.3) | 7 (18.4) | n (%) | 10 (55.6) | 19 (50) |
| Inhaled antibiotic use, n (%) | 13 (72.2) | 37 (97.4) | Antibiotic duration, mean (days) | 16.3 ± 8.7 | 13.9 ± 3.5 |

50

40

20

10



METHODS

| e in MDR-PA | |
|---------------|--|
| d PEx in CF | |
| was evaluated | |

Non-C/T based therapy for MDR-PA related in PEx in CF patients were included for controls





Contact Information: Jinhee Jo University of Houston Phone: (713) 743-2974 Email: jjo2@uh.edu

Descriptive and R studio (ggplot2) analysis were performed

CONCLUSIONS

C/T was restricted to infectious diseases consult service and was reserved for the sickest group of CF patients with severe FEV₁

The recurrent PEx rate was higher in the C/T group compared to the controls; however, the number of patients with no recurrent PEx episodes was similar between groups

Given the devastating disease progression with MDR pathogens, new antibiotics with better clinical outcomes against chronic MDR-PA should be considered earlier in therapy for this population

Larger studies are warranted to analyze costeffectiveness and clinical outcomes with PEx leading to hospital admission

FUNDING

This study was supported by Baylor St. Luke's Medical Center and The Roderick D. MacDonald **Research Fund**

REFERENCES

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. 2018 Patient registry annual data report

2. Hølby N, Ciofu O, Bjarnsholt T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms in cystic fibrosis. *Future Microbiol*. 2010;5(11):1663-74. Lyczak JB, Cannon CL, Pier GB. Establishment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections: lessons from a versatile opportunist. *Microbes and Infection*. 2000;2(9):1051-60.

Chmiel JF and Davis PB. State of the art: why do the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis become infected and why can't they clear the infection? *Respir Res*. 2003;4:8.

5. Merck Co & Inc. 2014. Zerbaxa (ceftolozane and tazobactam). Whitehouse Station, NJ.

6. Pogue JM, Kaye KS, Veve MP et al. Ceftolozane/tazobactam vs polymyxin or aminoglycoside-based regimens for the treatment of drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Clin Infect Dis. 2020; 71(2):304-310