

The Risk of Cytomegalovirus Infection and Recurrence Among Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

J Reekie¹, MP Khurana¹, IP Lodding¹, C Ekenberg¹, F Gustafsson², M Helleberg¹, A Mocroft³, M Perch⁴, A Rasmussen⁵, SS Sørensen⁶, JD Lundgren¹ for the MATCH study group

¹Centre of Excellence for Health, Immunity and Infections (CHIP), Department of Infectious Diseases, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ²Department of Cardiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³Institute for Global Health, Infection and Population Health, University College of London, United Kingdom, ⁴Department of Cardiology, Section for Lung Transplantation, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁵Department of Abdominal Surgery, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁶Department of Nephrology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

BACKGROUND

- Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection continues to be one of the most important pathogens affecting solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients.
- Several risk factors have been identified for CMV infection post transplant but less is known about the risk of recurrent CMV.

AIM

 To identify risk factors associated with CMV infection and recurrence following SOT.

OUTCOMES

- First CMV infection: The first of two-consecutive plasma CMV PCR ≥273 IU/mL taken ≤14 days of each other, or one CMV PCR ≥2730 IU/mL in the year after transplant.
- Recurrent CMV: A second diagnosis of CMV infection within 6 months of clearing and stopping treatment for the first CMV infection. Clearance of CMV was defined as the first date of two consecutive negative CMV PCR tests.

METHODS

- SOT recipients (aged ≥ 18 years) transplanted between 2011-2016 at Rigshospitalet, Denmark were included.
- Cumulative incidence curves and Cox proportional hazards models were used to investigate factors associated with CMV infection and recurrence.
- Baseline was defined as either SOT date (n=285) or date of stopping CMV prophylaxis for those initiating prophylaxis within 7 days of transplant (n=470).
- Individuals with unknown (n=35), or D-R- (n=117) CVM IgG serostatus at transplant and those who experienced breakthrough CMV while on prophylaxis (n=29) were excluded.
- Individuals who had a first CMV infection but did not have a treatment record (n=44) or follow-up CMV PCR measurements (n=22) were excluded from the

recurrent CMV analysis.













Variable SOT type Heart Kidney Liver Male emale

CMV serostatus D+R- (High) 153 78 D+R+ (Intermediate) 371 63 D-R+ (low)

Age (per 5 years older) ear of SOT (per vear later

ime on CMV phrophylaxis 85 days >=85 days

Table 1: Demographics at the time of SOT							
	All SOT recpients		Assessed for recurrent CMV				
	N=755		N=99				
Heart	58	7.7	7	7.1			
Kidney	386	51.1	33	33.3			
Liver	177	23.4	23	23.3			
Lung	134	17.8	36	36.4			
	451	59.7	60	60.6			
D+R- (High)	153	20.3	49	49.5			
D+R+ (Intermediate)	371	49.1	36	36.4			
D-R? (low)	231	30.6	14	14.1			
kis None	285	37.7	24	24.2			
<85 days	96	12.7	28	28.3			
≥85 days	374	49.5	47	47.5			
	50	41-59	53	45-59			
(median, IQR)	2014	2012-2015	2014	2012-2015			



	Table 2: Characteristics of the first two CMV infection episodes following SOT							
First CMV infection		CMV recurrence						
755	100	99	100					
172	22.0	40	40.4					
627	22.9	40	40.4					
637	273-2457	304	273-1220					
57	33-110	28	20-45					
165	95.4	38	95.0					
29	21-39	23	18-32					
3185	1001-20,930	1137	364-2138					
	First CI 755 173 637 57 165 29 3185	First CMV infection 755 100 755 100 100 22.9 637 273-2457 57 33-110 165 95.4 29 21-39 3185 1001-20,930 100 1001-20,930	First CMV infection CMV 755 100 99 755 100 99 173 22.9 40 637 273-2457 364 57 33-110 28 165 95.4 38 29 21-39 23 3185 1001-20,930 1137					

Figure 3: Risk of recurrent CMV infection in the 6 mo clearance and stopping of treatment for the first CI



Figure 4: Factors associated with recurrent CMV infection within 6 months of stopping treatment for the first CMV infection



ths following IV infection				
1				
,				
125 150				
High (D+R-)				

Multivariable aHR (95% CI) 0.87 (0.17-4.41) 1.57 (0.65-3.77) 1.33 (0.51-3.46) 0.81 (0.41-1.63) 0.40 (0.19-0.84) 0.20 (0.06-0.74 1.23 (1.06-1.44) 1.15 (0.92-1.43)

2 07 (0 91-4 68) 1.12 (0.47-2.64)

100.00

RESULTS

First CMV infection

- 755 SOT recipients were included in the analysis (Table 1).
- 173 (23%) developed CMV infection within one year of baseline (Table 2) with CMV disease present at diagnosis in 17% of the cases.
- The risk of CMV infection was lower in patients with low and intermediate risk CMV IgG serostatus compared to high risk (Figure 1).
- Liver and lung transplant, female sex, older age and year of transplant were also associated with an increased risk of CMV infection (Figure 2).
- Among the 470 (62%) patients who received CMV prophylaxis, those who received < 85 days had a higher risk of CMV infection than those receiving \geq 85 days (aHR 1.80, 95%CI 1.19-2.72).

Recurrent CMV

- 99 recipients were investigated for recurrent CMV (Table 1).
- 40 (40%) experienced relapse within 6 months of stopping treatment for their first infection (Table 2).
- The risk of recurrent CMV was significantly lower in those with low and intermediate risk serostatus (Figure 3).
- Older age (aHR 1.23 per 5 years older, 95%CI 1.06-1.44) was significantly associated with recurrent CMV infection (Figure 4).

CONCLUSIONS

- Recurrent CMV infection remains a significant complication among SOT recipients, especially in those with high risk CMV IgG serostatus.
- These findings highlight the necessity to successfully treat and monitor this subgroup following their first infection.
- Novel medical interventions and strategies to prevent CMV infection are of particular importance to this high-risk group.

