

Incidence, Reinfection, and Discrepancy Between Site Positivity and Reported Sexual Practice of Sexually Transmitted Infections in HIV-Positive Adolescents and Young Adults in Atlanta, GA

Abstract

Background: HIV-positive adolescents and young adults (AYAs) are disproportionately affected by sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Despite national recommendations, STI screening remains low. Incomplete screening in addition to inconsistent reported sexual practices may lead to missed infections. This study aimed to determine the incidence and reinfection rates of co-STIs in HIV-positive AYAs and the discrepancy between site positivity and self-reported sexual history in this group. Methods: Retrospective chart review was conducted for all patients aged 13-24 at Grady Ponce and Family Youth Clinic in Atlanta, GA from 2009-2018. Data were collected on demographics and STI events. STIs included gonorrhea (GC), chlamydia (CT), human papillomavirus (HPV), syphilis, trichomonas, herpes simplex virus (HSV), lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV), hepatitis C (HCV), bacterial vaginosis (BV), and chancroid. First ST incidence and incidence of reinfections were calculated by dividing new cases over corresponding person follow-up time.

Results: 621 sexually active HIV-positive AYAs were included. The mean age at first observation was $18.9 (\pm 2.8)$ years. 72% of patients were male, 92.3% were Black, and 79.7% were horizontally infected. 83.9% of patients had at least one STI during the study period. The overall first STI incidence rate for any STI was 45.2 per 100 person-years, with HPV, GC, CT, and syphilis as the most common STIs reported (Table 2). The overall recurren incidence rate for any STI was 120.17 per 100 person-years with GC, CT, and syphilis as the most common recurrent infections (Table 2). Of all GC and CT infections, the majority were rectal (48.8% and 49.4%, respectively). Only 65.9% of patients with rectal GC and 68.9% with rectal CT infections reported recent receptive anal sex (Table 3).

Conclusions: Our study demonstrates disproportionately high incidence and reinfection rates of co-STIs in HIV-positive AYAs. Furthermore, many patients did not report exposure at their site of infection. If screening is done based off reported exposure history alone, many infections may be missed. Our data support the urgent need for increased STI screening in this population, including routine extragenital testing for GC and CT, even without reported exposure at these sites.

Introduction

- Individuals aged 15-24 account for half of all STIs diagnosed in the US
- HIV-infected adolescents and young adults are disproportionately affected by co-STIs, with a previously reported incidence of 35 STIs per 100 person-years in this group (Brownstein et al., *PIDJ*, 2015)
- The CDC recommends annual screening for STIs at sites of exposure
- Routine STI screening remains low in this population
- Incomplete screening and inconsistent reported sexual practices may lead to missed infections

Leah B. Loerinc¹, Amy M. Scheel¹, Sierra Jordan-Thompson², Scott E. Gillespie³, Andres F. Camacho-Gonzalez²

¹Emory University School of Medicine; ²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Emory University School of Medicine; ³Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine

Results

Table 1: Patient Characteristics

	N=621	Туре	Confirmed First STI	First
Age at first observation (years), Mean ± SD	18.9 ± 2.8	(N=621)		р
Gender				
Male	447 (72%)	Any STI	521 (83.9%)	Z
Female	148 (23.8%)	Individual STIs		
Transgender Female	25 (4%)	HPV	341 (54.9%)	1
Transgender Male	1 (0.2%)	Gonorrhea	301 (48.5%)	1
Race		Chlamydia	283 (45.6%)]
African American	573 (92.3%)	Syphilis	231 (37.2%)	
White	16 (2.6%)	LGV	77 (12.4%)	
Other	32 (5.1%)	HSV	72 (11.6%)	
Mode of HIV transmission		BV	65 (10.5%)	
Horizontal	495 (79.7%)	Trichomonas	44 (7.1%)	
Vertical	123 (19.8%)	Hepatitis C	11 (1.8%)	
Not Documented	3 (0.5%)	Chancroid	1 (0.2%)	
Sexuality		Abbroviations: STL or	wually transmitted infaction.	
Homosexual	314 (50.6%)		exually transmitted infection; I es simplex virus; BV, bacteria	
Heterosexual	201 (32.4%)		• • •	
Other	106 (17%)			

Table 3: Concurrence Between Reported Sexual History and STI Site Positivity

Reported Sexual History	<u>Gonorrhea</u>		<u>Chlamydia</u>	
Oral	Positive Pharyngeal (N=186)	<u>P-Value</u>	Positive Pharyngeal (N=33)	<u>P-Value</u>
Yes	104 (55.9%)	0.031	17 (51.5%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	82 (44.1%)		16 (48.5%)	
Anal Receptive	Positive Rectal (N=317)		Positive Rectal (N=257)	
Yes	209 (65.9%)	< 0.001	177 (68.9%)	<0.001
No/Unknown	108 (34.1%)		80 (31.1%)	
Anal Insertive ¹	Positive Urogenital (N=211)		Positive Urogenital (N=136)	
Yes	113 (53.5%)	0.029	60 (44.1%)	0.127
No/Unknown	98 (46.5%)		76 (55.9%)	
Vaginal ²	Positive Urogenital (N=106)		Positive Urogenital (N=168)	
Yes	30 (28.3%)	<0.001	83 (49.4%)	<0.001
No/Unknown	76 (71.7%)		85 (50.6%)	
10: made and transport and an uname	an anly ² Cia famala and transgandar m			

Reported Sexual History	<u>Gonorrhea</u>		<u>Chlamydia</u>	
Oral	Positive Pharyngeal (N=186)	<u>P-Value</u>	Positive Pharyngeal (N=33)	<u>P-Value</u>
Yes	104 (55.9%)	0.031	17 (51.5%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	82 (44.1%)		16 (48.5%)	
Anal Receptive	Positive Rectal (N=317)		Positive Rectal (N=257)	
Yes	209 (65.9%)	< 0.001	177 (68.9%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	108 (34.1%)		80 (31.1%)	
Anal Insertive ¹	Positive Urogenital (N=211)		Positive Urogenital (N=136)	
Yes	113 (53.5%)	0.029	60 (44.1%)	0.127
No/Unknown	98 (46.5%)		76 (55.9%)	
Vaginal ²	Positive Urogenital (N=106)		Positive Urogenital (N=168)	
Yes	30 (28.3%)	<0.001	83 (49.4%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	76 (71.7%)		85 (50.6%)	
10ia mala and transportandar war	an anhu ² Cia famala and transgandar m		la and transported ar ware an what are no	themeen

(N=33) <u>P-Value</u> <0.001
<0.001
=257)
< 0.001
(N=136)
0.127
(N=168)
< 0.001
_

Reported Sexual History	<u>Gonorrhea</u>		<u>Chlamydia</u>	
Oral	Positive Pharyngeal (N=186)	<u>P-Value</u>	Positive Pharyngeal (N=33)	<u>P-Value</u>
Yes	104 (55.9%)	0.031	17 (51.5%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	82 (44.1%)		16 (48.5%)	
Anal Receptive	Positive Rectal (N=317)		Positive Rectal (N=257)	
Yes	209 (65.9%)	< 0.001	177 (68.9%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	108 (34.1%)		80 (31.1%)	
Anal Insertive ¹	Positive Urogenital (N=211)		Positive Urogenital (N=136)	
Yes	113 (53.5%)	0.029	60 (44.1%)	0.127
No/Unknown	98 (46.5%)		76 (55.9%)	
Vaginal ²	Positive Urogenital (N=106)		Positive Urogenital (N=168)	
Yes	30 (28.3%)	< 0.001	83 (49.4%)	< 0.001
No/Unknown	76 (71.7%)		85 (50.6%)	
¹ Cis-male and transgender women only; ² Cis-female and transgender men <i>or</i> cis-male and transgender women who are not homosexu				t homosexua



Corresponding Author: 2015 Uppergate Drive, Suite 500 Atlanta, GA 30322 acamac2@emory.edu P: 404-727-5642 F: 404-727-9223

UNIVERSITY

ny STI and Individual STIs

st STI Incidence (95% CI) Recurrent STI Incidence (95% CI) per 100 Person-Years per 100 Person-Years

45.20 (41.44, 49.21)

19.21 (17.25, 21.34) 15.09 (13.46, 16.87) 14.08 (12.51, 15.80) 11.04 (9.69, 12.54) 3.08 (2.45, 3.83) 2.86 (2.26, 3.58) 2.66 (2.07, 3.37) 1.73 (1.28, 2.30) 0.42 (0.22, 0.72) 0.04 (0.003, 0.17)

120.17 (113.95, 126.65)

53.25 (47.88, 59.06) 36.96 (32.47, 41.89) 34.34 (29.24, 40.10) 16.33 (11.08, 23.25) 24.07 (18.32, 31.08) 23.40 (16.11, 32.95)

0.00 (-0.09, 144.94)

human papilloma virus; LGV, lymphogranuloma nosis

Conclusions

- HIV-infected youth in Atlanta have disproportionately high first and recurrent incidence rates of STIs compared to previously reported data in this group
- Many patients did not report exposure at their site of infection with statistically significant disagreement between reported exposure history and site positivity for most sites
- If screening is done based on reported exposure history alone, many co-STIs may be missed
- Missed infections can lead to increased transmission of HIV and co-STIs, worsening of HIV disease, or disseminated infection
- There is considerable need for routine screening, including extragenital testing for GC and CT, even without reported exposure at these sites in HIVinfected youth

Grady Health System