CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF COMMON RESPIRATORY VIRUSES DETECTED IN INFANTS ACROSS DIFFERENT CLINICAL SETTINGS

ZAID HADDADIN, MD¹, DANIELLE A. RANKIN, MPH, CIC^{1,2}, LOREN LIPWORTH, SCD³, JON FRYZEK, PHD⁴, MINA SUH, MPH⁴, DONALD S. SHEPARD, PHD, FASTMH⁵, RENDIE MCHENRY, MS¹, REBEKKAH VARJABEDIAN, BS¹, KAILEE N. FERNANDEZ, BS¹, CHRISTOPHER B. NELSON, PHD⁵, NATASHA B. HALASA, MD, MPH¹

1. INTRODUCTION

- Viral acute respiratory infections (ARI) continue to be a significant cause of healthcare visits in young children
- The clinical presentations and ARI severity vary and are relat to both host and virus-related factors
- We aimed to evaluate the clinical presentation and disease severity of common respiratory viruses associated with medically attended ARI

2. METHODS

- Infants less than one year who presented with fever and/or 202, respiratory symptoms were enrolled from December 16 to April 30, 2020 in Nashville, TN from three different clinica settings [outpatient (OP), emergency department (ED) and inpatient (IP)]
- Nasal and throat swabs were collected and tested by moleci testing for common respiratory viruses, and SARS-CoV-2
- Demographic and clinical characteristics were collected through parent/guardian interviews, medical chart abstracti and follow up surveys
- Multivariable logistic regression was used to compare the or of hospital admission in virus-positive infants

3. RESULTS

- Of 361 infants enrolled and had nasal swabs collected and tested, 295 (82%) had at least one virus detected
- Rhinovirus/enterovirus (RV/EV) [124 (42%)], respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [101 (32%)], and influenza (flu) [44 (15%) were the three most common pathogens detected

	Figure 1. Univariable Analysis of Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Virus- positive vs. Virus-negative Children				Table 2. Adjusted Odds ratios from logistic regression model to evaluate association between variables	
	100%		*	*	associated with hospital admission in virus-positive	
	80%				infants N=226	
ated	60% * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			OR 95% CI		
accu				Virus type		
	40%				RSV-positive Ref Ref	
Ę	20%				RV/EV-positive 0.185 0.074-0.464 Influence regitive 0.284 0.120, 1.227	
	0%				Influenza-positive 0.384 0.120-1.227 OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval	
	Daycare	UMCs	Cough	Nasal Congestion	Adjusted for age, race, ethnicity, gender, underlying medical conditions, prematurity, and breastfeeding	
		Virus-Positive n=(295)	5) 📃 Virus-N	Negative (n=66)		
	Maximum RR	44.9±14*		39.1±12.2	4. CONCLUSIONS	
r	Minimum O2 Saturation	95.6±5.4*	used to compare mea	97.2±3.6		
019	UMCs: underlying medical conditions; RR: respiratory rate. T-test was used to compare means between continuous data, Pearson's Chi-Squared test was used to compare proportions between categorical data* p<0.05				 Majority of infants with ARI had at least one 	
	Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of infants positive for RV/EV, RSV or				virus detected and RV/EV, RSV, and flu were	
	Influenza			the most common virus detected		
		RV/EV (n=124)**	RSV (n=101)**	Influenza (n=44) ^{**}	• DCV/was most sovere as indicated by alimical	
		Demographie	c Characteristics		 RSV was most severe, as indicated by clinical 	
	Age, months, mean ± SD	6.4±3.2	4.9±3.2 ^{*↓}	7.8±2.9 *个	presentation, hospital admission and oxygen	
cular	White	55%*个	44%	45%	use	
	Daycare	44%*个	29%	30%	. The sea closed bight the size set of	
		Signs and symptoms			 These data highlight the importance of 	
	Documented Fever	48% [*] ↓	56%	82% ^{*↑}	continuous viral ARI surveillance in different	
tions	Chills	5% *↓	13%	27% *↑	populations, settings and across clinical	
	Cough	84% [*] ↓	100%*个	93%		
	SOB	35% [*] ↓ 6% [*] ↓	67% ^{*个} 23% ^{*个}	45%	severities, to help develop and advance	
odds	Wheezing Crackles (Balas (Banchi	6% ▼ 11% [*] ↓	23 %「 50% ^{*个}	5% 11% *↓	preventive and treatment measures	
	Crackles/Rales/Ronchi		50%「 7% ^{*个}		CONTACT INFORMATION AND AFFILIATIONS	
	Accessory Muscle Use Apnea	2% 4%	7%	0 *↓	CONTACT INFORMATION AND AFFILIATIONS	
	Maximum RR	40.9±12 ^{*↓}	51.1±14.5 ^{*个}	39.5±7.6 ^{*↓}	Zaid Haddadin, MD. Postdoctoral Research Fellow, email: zaid.haddadin@vumc.org	
	Minimum O2 Saturation	97±5.3 ^{*↑}	94.4±5.7 [*] ↓	96.2±4.1	Affiliations:1 Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville,	
	Markers of severity				Tennessee, USA; 2 Vanderbilt Epidemiology PhD Program, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; 3 Department of Medicine, Division of Epidemiology,	
	IP	10% ^{*↓}	37 % ^{*个}	14%	Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; 5 Heller School for Social	
	O2 Use	7/13 (53.9)%	24/37 (65%) *↑	1/6 (17%)	Policy and Management, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA; 4 EpidStrategies, Mission Viejo, California, USA; 5 Sanofi Pasteur, Swiftwater, PA, USA	
5%)]	RR= respiratory rate; SOB: shortness **: comparison between each virus	of breath; Categorial Data are in r	n (%), Continuous Data	Funding: Investigator Initiated Grant supported by Sanofi Pasteur and Astra-Zeneca;		

means between continuous data, Pearson's Chi-Squared test was used to compare proportions between categorical data

MEDICAL CENTER

National Institutes of Health and the CTSA award UL1TR000445 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences