# Incidence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes of Post-Transplant Lymphoproliferative Disorders among Epstein-Barr Virus Donor Positive, Recipient Negative Adult Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

Madeleine R. Heldman, MD,<sup>1</sup> Kerstin L. Edlefson, MD,<sup>2</sup> Siddhartha G. Kapnadak, MD<sup>3</sup>, Robert M. Rakita, MD<sup>1</sup>, Cynthia E. Fisher, MD<sup>1</sup> and Ajit P. Limaye, MD<sup>1</sup>

1, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; 2, Division of Hemopathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; 3, Division of Pulmonology, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle WA

### Background

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) donor positive, recipient negative (D+R-) serostatus is an important relative risk factor for post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) in adult solid organ transplant recipients (SOTR)<sup>1.</sup> However:

- The absolute incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of PTLD among adult EBV D+R- SOTR remain incompletely characterized.
- Defining specific subset(s) of adult EBV D+R- SOTR with a high absolute incidence of PTLD is a necessary initial step to identify a target population for future interventional trials of preventive and therapeutic strategies.

# **Objectives**

- Determine the incidence and risk factors for PTLD in adult EBV D+R-SOTR
- Identify specific subgroup(s) among EBV D+R- SOT recipients in whom the incidence is high enough to feasibly target for future preventive and/or interventional strategies

## Methods



<sup>a</sup> EBNA-1 IgG and VCA IgG were measured using FDA-cleared ELISA assays

 $^{\rm b} {\rm Donors}$  with unknown serologies were presumed to be seropositive based on >93% seropositivity in the pooled donor population.





\* Donor serologies were unknown for 53/153 (35%) of R- recipients and presumed to be positive. The presumed D+R- group (n=144), may include few D-R- ; thus incidence of PTLD in D+R- may be underestimated

<sup>†</sup> Patients were followed until PTLD diagnosis, death, or April 30, 2020, whichever came first

#### Table 2: EBV D+R- Cohort Characteristics\*

| PTLD characteristics               | No PTLD<br>(n=129) | PTLD (n=15)    | P-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Mean age at first transplant,      |                    |                |         |
| years (SD)                         | 45 (15.7)          | 38.3 (17.1)    | 0.1     |
| Male (%)                           | 90 (69.8)          | 11 (73.3)      | 0.88    |
| Receipt of anti-thymoglobulin for  |                    |                |         |
| rejection prevention or treatment  | 33 (25.6)          | 3 (20.0)       | 0.637   |
| Median time from transplant to     |                    |                |         |
| PTLD diagnosis, months (IQR)       |                    | 9.6 (6.1-34.2) |         |
| PTLD histopathology                |                    |                |         |
| Monomorphic                        |                    | 9 (60.0)       |         |
| Polymorphic                        |                    | 3 (20.0)       |         |
| Hodgkin's lymphoma                 |                    | 0 (0.0)        |         |
| Other/Unknown                      |                    | 3 (20.0)       |         |
| Tumor EBV status                   |                    |                |         |
| Positive                           |                    | 12 (80.0)      |         |
| Negative                           |                    | 0 (0.0)        |         |
| Indeterminate/Unknown              |                    | 3 (20.0)       |         |
| Outcomes                           |                    |                |         |
| Graft failure after PTLD diagnosis |                    | 2 (13.3)       |         |
| Graft failure with re-transplant   |                    |                |         |
| after PTLD diagnosis               |                    | 3 (20.0)       |         |
| Mortality within 6 months of       |                    |                |         |
| PTLD diagnosis                     |                    | 3 (20.0)       |         |

\* Because unknown donor serologies were presumed positive, may include few D-R

### Conclusions

- EBV D+R- group comprises a small (~3%) proportion of adult SOTR, but have a ~10-fold higher incidence of PTLD compared to R+ patients
- Among EBV D+R- SOTR, the absolute incidence of PTLD is significantly higher in non-kidney vs kidney recipients
- Trials of new preventive and/or therapeutic strategies should would be most feasible in this group

#### References

vs. kidney

recipients

1) Caillard S, Lamy FX, Quelen C, et al.. Am J Transplant. Mar 2012;12(3):682-93

2) Obbins NJ, Spital CH, Black RA, et al. Leaf: an open-source, model-agnostic, data-driven web application for cohort discovery and translational biomedical research. J Am Med Inform Assoc. Jan 2020;27(1):109-118