

Base

PharmD<sup>1</sup>; Renee-Claude Mercier, PharmD, PhC, BCPS-ID, FCCP<sup>1</sup>; Bernadette Jakeman, PharmD, PhC, BCPS, AAHIVP<sup>1</sup> <sup>4</sup>University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Clinical and Translational Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA

Mark Cinquegrani, PharmD<sup>1,2</sup>; M. Gabriela Cabanilla, PharmD, PhC<sup>2</sup>; Catia Marzolini, PharmD, PhC<sup></sup> <sup>1</sup>University of New Mexico College of Pharmacy, Albuquerque, NM, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland;

### Background

- Advances in HIV therapy has led to improved viral suppression and extended life expectancy in people with HIV (PWH).<sup>1-2</sup>
- PWH are aging and experience age-related comorbidities as well as physiological changes leading to a higher risk for polypharmacy, drug-drug interactions, inappropriate prescribing and related adverse events (AE).<sup>3-6</sup> Prior studies have highlighted a growing concern for inappropriate prescribing
- in older PWH.<sup>7</sup>

## Objective

The objective of this study was to examine the prevalence of AEs resulting from inappropriate prescribing in PWH > 65 years of age.

## Hypothesis

Medication-related adverse events due to Inappropriate prescribing is common in people with HIV  $\geq$  65 years of age.

### Methods

Study Design Retrospective chart review

### Setting

The University of New Mexico Truman Health Services (THS) clinic in Albuquerque, NM, USA serves approximately 1400 patients with HIV

### **Study Population**

Adults ≥65 years of age with HIV who received HIV care between January 1, 2015 and August 21, 2018 were included for analysis if they had received >1 potentially inappropriate prescriptions (PIP). See *Measures of Inappropriate Prescribing* 

### **Primary Outcomes**

- Adverse events related to PIPs (list developed by investigators based on PIP criteria)
- Severity of AEs (classified using the WHO scale for grade of AEs when possible)
- Hospitalizations

### **Measures of Inappropriate Prescribing**

American Geriatric Society Beers Criteria<sup>8</sup>

Screening Tool of **Older Persons** Prescriptions (STOPP)<sup>9</sup>

Screening Tool to Alert to Right Treatment (START)<sup>9</sup>

Antiretroviral Therapy-related Drug-Drug Interaction\*

Inappropriate prescriptions were identified from a patient's most recent medication list. \*University of Liverpool's HIV interaction checker was used for interaction screening \*\*Lexicomp interaction checker was used for interaction screening

# Adverse Events Due to Inappropriate Prescribing in Older Adults with HIV



### Results

104 patients met inclusion criteria (>1 PIP)

- 51 patients (49.0%) met criteria for  $\geq$ 1 PIP using the Beers Criteria
- 60 patients (57.7%) met criteria for  $\geq$ 1 PIP using the STOPP Criteria
- The most common inappropriately prescribed medications included NSAIDS, benzodiazepines, and first-generation antihistamines

#### Table 1. Patient Characteristics (n=104)

Characteristic	n (%) or median (IQR)			
Age (yrs)	68 (66, 70)			
Male gender	92 (88.5%)			
Race				
White	90 (86.5%)			
Black	5 (4.8%)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4 (3.9%)			
Declined to answer	5 (4.8%)			
Ethnicity				
Hispanic/Latino	29 (27.9%)			
Non-Hispanic	67 (64.4%)			
Unknown	8 (7.7%)			
BMI (kg/m²)	24.7 (22, 28)			
SCr (mg/dL)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)			
Years living with HIV	22 (14, 28)			
CD4 cell count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	589 (431 <i>,</i> 819)			
Undetectable HIV viral load (<20 copies/mL)	92 (88.5%)			
Polypharmacy (>5 non-HIV medications)	104 (100%)			
Total number of non-HIV medications	8 (6, 12)			
ART regimen				
INSTI-based regimen	72 (69.2%)			
PI-based regimen	8 (7.7%)			
NNRTI-based regimen	14 (13.5%)			
Non-traditional regimen	10 (9.6%)			
BMI = body mass index; SCr = serum creatinine; INST = integrase strand inhibitor; PI =				
protease inhibitor: NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor				

## Table 2. Patients with Adverse Outcomes Potentially

<b>Related to Inappropriate Prescribin</b>	Adverse event		
Adverse Outcome	n (%)	Fall	
>1 Adverse event	30 (28.8%)	Bleed	
>1 Severe event	20 (69.0%)	Fracture	
>1 Emergency department visit	14 (13.5%)	Anticholinergic side effects	
>1 Hospital admission	2 (1.9%)	Acute kidney injury	
<ul> <li>30 patients experienced 53 adverse ev</li> </ul>	Hypotension		
potentially inappropriately prescribed	Hyponatremia		
<ul> <li>Almost 50% of the patients who had a required an ED visit</li> </ul>	Infection		
<ul> <li>All events received a designation of at least "Possible"</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>			
on the Neranie Ceale (Min Cears 2/0	$\Lambda_{a}$		

on the Naranjo Scale (Min Score 2/9, Max Score 7/9)

#### Table 3. Type of Adverse Event

### Results

### Figure 1. Factors Associated with Adverse Events

In the multivariate analysis, the likelihood of having an adverse effect significantly increased as the total number of non-HIV medication increased or when being prescribed a medication fulfilling the Beers criteria of STOPP criteria.

- Age Male gender
- CD4 cell count
- Total non-HIV medications
  - **Beers criteria**
  - **STOPP** criteria

**HIV** medications

Using logistic regression to find best fit, it was determined that <7 non-HIV medications minimized risk of an adverse event.

## # non-HIV medicat

<7 medications</p>

>7 medications

\*Adjusted for age, gender, race, and CD4 cell count (p=0.003); AE = Adverse Event

### Limitations

- Investigators collected only most concerning AEs related to PIP
- Not all adverse event reporting is captured in electronic medical records.
- Co-morbidities were not included in analysis
- Adverse events difficult to attribute solely to PIP.
- There is overlap between inappropriate prescribing criteria.
- Use of some medications that are deemed inappropriate may still be
- appropriate based on risk/benefit considerations.

### Conclusions

PIP and related AEs are common in older PWH. Interventions to prevent harm including medication reconciliation, medication review, and medication prioritization according to the risks/benefits of individual patients are warranted.

### References

1) Gleason et al. Clinical Interventions in Aging 2013;8:749-763; 2) Guaraldi et al. AIDS. 2017;31:S129-S135; 3) Holtzman et al. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2013;28(10):1302-1310; 4) Nachega et al. AIDS. 2012;26:S39-S53; 5) Shah et al. Clinical Interventions in Aging June 2013:749; 6) Smith et al. AIDS. 2017;31:S173-S184; 7) McNicholl et al. Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy. 2017;37(12):1498-1506; 8) 2019 American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria® Update Expert Panel. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67(4):674-694. doi:10.1111/jgs.15767; 9) O'Mahony et al. *Age and Ageing*. 2014;44(2):213-218.





\*Beers and STOPP were modeled separately adjusting for age, gender, race, CD4 count, and total number of non-

#### Table 4. Adverse Event in Patients on <7 vs >7 Non-HIV Medications (n=104)

ions	Patients with AE	Patients without AE	OR (95% CI)*		
	5	37	Reference		
	25	37	5.4 (1.8-16.2)		

#### Results may underestimate AEs due to PIP

Medication adherence was not evaluated.