Relationship Between Patient Characteristics and Critical Illness in Patients Admitted for CoVID-19

University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

Abstract

Background

While several studies have explored hospitalization risk factors with the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) infe the risk of poor outcomes during hospitalization has primarily relied upon laboratory or hospital-acquired Our goal was to identify clinical characteristics associated with intubation or death within 7 days of admiss Methods

The first 436 patients admitted to the University of Colorado Hospital (Denver metropolitan area) with cor CoVID-19 were included. Demographics, comorbidities, and select medications were collected by chart abstraction. Missing height for calculating body mass index (BMI) was imputed using the median height fo patients' sex and race/ethnicity. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) were estimated using multivariable logistic reg and a minimax concave penalty (MCP) regularized logistic regression explored prediction. Results

Participants had a mean(SD) age 55(17), BMI 30.9(8.2), 55% were male and 80% were ethnic/racial minori Unadjusted comparisons by outcome are shown (Table 1). Male sex (aOR: 1.60, 95% CI (1.02, 2.54)), increa age (aOR: 1.25(1.08, 1.47); per 10 years), higher BMI (aOR 1.03(1.00, 1.06) and poorly controlled diabetes (hemoglobin A1C ≥8) (aOR 2.33(1.27, 4.27) were significantly (p< 0.05) associated with greater odds of int or death. Minority status tended to be associated with higher odds (aOR:1.8(1.01,3.36); p=0.052). Surprisi need for hospital interpreter was associated with decreased odds (OR: 0.58(0.35, 0.95)) of intubation/dear final MCP model included indicators of A1C≥8, age >65, sex and minority status, but predicted intubation/ only slightly better than random chance (AUC= 0.61(0.56, 0.67)). Conclusion

In a hospitalized patient cohort with COVID-19, male sex, poorly controlled diabetes, increasing age and B significantly associated with early intubation or death. These results complement larger cohort studies and highlight risk differences across metropolitan areas with varying COVID-19 prevalence, demographics, and comorbid disease burden. Notably, our predictive model had limited success, which may suggest unmeasu factors also contribute to disease severity differences.

Background

- Previous studies have explored risk factors for critical illness in CoVID-19.
- Most predictive modeling of CoVID-19 critical illness relied on hospital-ac data (such as laboratory values or imaging) and variable definitions of "cri or "severe".
- Populations at highest risk for severe disease, such as institutionalized individuals, may not have this data available.
- The goal of this study was to identify risk factors from readily available clin or demographic factors to create a predictive model for critical illness.

Methods

- We completed a retrospective chart review of the first 436 consecutive patients with a positive CoVID-19 PCR, requiring admission to the Univers of Colorado.
- Variables were stratified based on intubation or death within one week or admission as the primary outcome.
- Categorical and continuous variables were compared using chi-square tes t-tests, respectively.
- Regression model was used to explore associations between primary out and predictors, which included age, body mass index (BMI), gender/sex, racial/ethnic minority, non-type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) vs DM2 w/A1c vs DM2 w/A1c \geq 8, cardiovascular disease, current/former smoker, hypertension, and need for hospital interpreter (proxy for non-English speaking).
- Minimax concave penalty regularized logistic regression was used to build predictive model using the above variables.

Samuel Windham MD MS¹, Melissa Wilson MS², Connor Fling BS³, David Sheneman BA MPH³, Taylor Wand BS³, Lyndsey Babcock BS³, Samantha MaWhinney ScD², Kristine Erlandson MD MS¹

University of Colorado School of Medicine; ¹Division of Infectious Diseases, ²Department of Biostatistics and Informatics, ³School of Medicine

| Clinical Characteristics | Overall (N=436) | Not Intubated/Alive | Intubated/Dead | P-value |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | | (N=308) | (N=128) | 0.002 |
| Age, mean (SD) | 55.42 (17.30) | 54.50 (17.46) | 57.65 (16.76) | 0.083 |
| Female, (%) | 198 (45.4) | 146 (47.4) | 52 (40.6) | 0.235 |
| Racial/Ethnic Minority, (%) | 348 /9.8) | 242 (78.6) | 106 (82.8) | 0.382 |
| Current/Former Smoker, (%) | 110 (25.2) | 82 (26.6) | 28 (21.9) | 0.358 |
| Unknown, (%) | 12 (3.0) | 6 (1.9) | / (5.5) | |
| Alcohol Use, (%) | 103 (23.6) | 75 (24.4) | 28 (21.9) | 0.667 |
| Unknown, (%) | 47 (10.8) | 25 (8.1) | 22 (17.2) | |
| Marijuana Use, (%) | 21 (4.8) | 16 (5.2) | 5 (3.9) | 0.744 |
| Unknown, (%) | 64 (14.7) | 40 (13.0) | 24 (18.8) | |
| BMI [*] , mean (SD) | 31.14 (8.40) | 30.76 (7.98) | 31.98 (9.24) | 0.180 |
| Hypertension, (%) | 208 (47.7) | 147 (47.7) | 61 (47.7) | 1.000 |
| Respiratory Disease, (%) | 97 (22.2) | 70 (22.7) | 27 (21.2) | 0.805 |
| Hyperlipidemia, (%) | 88 (20.2) | 62 (20.1) | 26 (20.3) | 1.000 |
| Cardiovascular Disease, (%) | 65 (14.9) | 41 (13.3) | 24 (18.8) | 0.192 |
| Al Disease, Cancer, or IS, (%) | 50 (11.5) | 33 (10.7) | 17 (13.3) | 0.548 |
| Chronic Kidney Disease, (%) | 25 (5.7) | 16 (5.2) | 9 (7.0) | 0.600 |
| Type 2 Diabetes, (%) | 142 (32.6) | 93 (30.2) | 49 (38.3) | 0.126 |
| Most Recent Hemoglobin A1c (Diabetics only)*, | 7.70 [6.70, 10.10] | 7.50 [6.50, 9.50] | 8.50 [7.30, 10.50] | 0.022 |
| median [IQR] | | | | |
| ARB/ACE-I Use, (%) | 111 (25.5) | 73 (23.7) | 38 (29.7) | 0.236 |
| Chronic Steroid Use, (%) | 17 (3.9) | 10 (3.2) | 7 (5.5) | 0.403 |
| Statin Use, (%) | 116 (26.8) | 75 (24.4) | 41 (32.5) | 0.107 |
| Days Hospitalized [*] , median [IQR] | 6.00 [3.00, 12.00] | 5.00 [2.00, 8.00] | 15.50 [8.25, 28.75] | <0.001 |
| Intubated, (%) | 126 (28.9) | 6 (1.9) | 120 (93.8) | <0.001 |
| Days Intubated [*] , median [IQR] | 10.00 [6.00, 18.50] | 10.00 [6.00, 11.00] | 10.00 [6.00, 20.00] | 0.552 |
| Death within One Week, (%) | 23 (5.3) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (18.0) | <0.001 |
| Death, (%) | 47 (10.8) | 7 (2.3) | 40 (31.2) | <0.001 |
| Abbreviations: SD: Standard Deviation, BMI: Body Mass Index, AI: Autoir Interquartile Range Key: Variables marked with * were analyzed as continuous variables with categorical variables. Table 2: Multivariable Logistic Regression of Odd | mmune, IS: Immunosuppression, A h T-Tests, variables marked with ^ v s of Intubation/Death wi | ARB: Angiotensin Receptor Blocker, were analyzed with Mann-Whitney ithin 1 Week | ACE-i: Angiotensin Converting Enz U Test, while all other variables w | yme Inhibit vere analyze |
| | | R | 95% CI | p-value |
| Variable | | | | |
| Variable Age, per decade | 1.2 | 26 (2 | L.08, 1.47) | 0.004 |
| Variable Age, per decade BMI, per 1kg/m ² | 1.2 1.0 | 26 (2)3 (2 | L.08, 1.47) L.00, 1.06) | 0.004 |
| Variable Age, per decade BMI, per 1kg/m ² Racial/Ethnic Minority | 1.2 1.0 1.8 | 26 (1 03 (1 82 (1 | L.08, 1.47) L.00, 1.06) L.01, 3.36) | 0.004 0.033 0.052 |
| Variable Age, per decade BMI, per 1kg/m ² Racial/Ethnic Minority DM2 with an A1c ≥8 vs non-diabetic | 1.2 1.0 1.8 2.3 | 26 (1 03 (1 32 (1 32 (1 32 (1 | L.08, 1.47) L.00, 1.06) L.01, 3.36) L.26, 4.25) | 0.004 0.033 0.052 0.006 |

| | 1.00 - |
|-------------|------------------------|
| | 0.90 - |
| | 0.75 - |
| Sensitivity | 0.50 - |
| | 0.25 - |
| | 0.10 - |
| | 0.00 - 1 |
| | |
| • | Alth outc |
| • | sex N Mult CI 1. |
| • | Our |
| • | Our |
| • | Prev |
| • | The |
| | 1626 |

Contact Information: Samuel Windham, MD MS 12700 E. 19th Avenue, Mail Stop B168 Aurora, CO 80045 816-223-5212 Samuel.windham@cuanschutz.edu



Conclusions

nough many factors appeared to be increased amongst the primary come group in univariable analysis, only age, higher BMI, DM, and male were associated with the primary outcome in multivariable analysis. Itivariable analysis showed hemoglobin A1c ≥8 with an OR of 2.3 (95% .3, 4.3) as compared to non-diabetics for the primary outcome as the ngest positive relationship.

predictive model had minimal predictive ability for the outcome with AUC of 0.6071 [0.56, 0.67].

results compliment other studies addressing risk for critical illness in 'ID-19 patients.

viously, A1c has been linked to hospitalization rates of CoVID-19 but this ne first direct link between previous A1c and critical illness in CoVID-19. e limited success of our predictive model illustrates the need for further earch into easily obtainable risk factor for critical illness in CoVID-19. t steps include investigation into additive or multiplicative effect of Itiple comorbidities on predicting critical illness