Yale NewHaven Health

Background

- Oral antimicrobial therapy as stepdown therapy (SDT) for Enterobacteriales bloodstream infection (EB-BSI) is advantageous to reduce the risk of central line complications, cost of care and length of stay.¹
- Given their high bioavailability, fluoroquinolones (FQ) are commonly used in the treatment of EB-BSI. However, due to increasing warnings around FQ use including *Clostridiodes difficile* infection (CDI) and increasing resistance alternative oral options are warranted.^{2,3,4}
- There is limited evidence suggesting that de-escalating to oral beta-lactams (OBLM) may be an option for EB-BSI treatment.⁵
- At our large academic medical center, to avoid the overuse and the risks of FQs OBLM as SDT for EB-BSI is a common practice.

Objectives

• The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of SDT with OBLM for EB-BSI.

Methods

- Retrospective chart review conducted at Yale New Haven Hospital (YNHH).
- **Inclusion criteria**: All patients over the age of 18 admitted to the hospital and prescribed antibiotic therapy for EB-BSI from November 2016 to August 2019.
- Enterobacteriales organisms included: *E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella* oxytoca or Proteus mirabilis.
- **Exclusion criteria**: Age<18, pregnancy, ANC<1000 cells/uL, ceftriaxone resistant isolates, non OBLM/FQ SDT, complicated infection (i.e., endocarditis, bone/joint/device, source control unobtainable, CNS), discharge to hospice, expired before discharge or completion of therapy, kidney transplant patients, anaphylactic beta-lactam allergy, polymicrobial bacteremia.
- **Primary outcome:** clinical cure defined as completion of therapy without signs of persistent infection (increase in WBC > 2000 cells/mL if WBC was \geq 12,000 cells/mL, fever (>38°C), or change in antibiotic due to failure).
- Secondary outcomes: 30-day readmission rates, reinfection rate defined as positive blood culture within 30 days of completion of therapy, antibiotic associated adverse events defined as side effects leading to discontinuation, and CDI within 90 days from start of treatment.
- All end points were statistically analyzed for significance by utilizing a student pair t-test assuming unequal variances with an alpha value of 0.05 considered to be significant.
- Categorical data was analyzed using the chi-squared test.

Re-purposing Beta-lactam Antibiotics as Fluoroquinolone Sparing Stepdown Therapy for Enterobacteriales Bloodsteam Infections

Matthew Davis, PharmD¹; Michael A. Ruggero, PharmD¹; Dayna McManus, PharmD, BCPS AQ-ID¹; Jeffrey Topal, MD^{1,2} ¹Department of Pharmacy Services, Yale New Haven Hospital; ²Yale School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases

| | inclu | valuated for Ision 369) | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Patients excluded (n=220)*: - Received non-OBLM/FQ step down therapy (n=82) - Expired prior to discharge (n=35) - Discharged to hospice (n=29) - Ceftriaxone resistant isolates (n=28) - Polymicrobial infection (n=25) - Kidney transplant (n=24) - Endocarditis, bone/joint, or CNS infection (n=14) - ANC < 1,000 cells/uL (n=13) - Anaphylactic BLM allergy (n=3) - Pregnancy (n=1) *Patients may have been excluded for more then one reason | | | | |
| | DBLM cohort (n=96) | FQ coho (n=53) | | |
| | | | | |
| Baseline Demographics | OBLM (n=96) | FQ (n=53) | P-val | |
| | | | | |
| Age, median (IQR) | (n=96) | (n=53) | 0.00 | |
| Baseline Demographics Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%) | (n=96) 78 (70-84) | (n=53) 72 (65-80) | 0.00 0.12 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) | (n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) | P-val 0.00 0.12 0.5 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m², n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 0.71 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 0.72 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m ² , n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m ² , n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10) 15 (16)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m ² , n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m ² , n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% Dialysis, n (%) Heart failure, n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 30 (31) 10 (10) 15 (16) 1 (1)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 0.72 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m ² , n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m ² , n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% Dialysis, n (%) Heart failure, n (%) Cirrhosis, n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 30 (31) 10 (10) 15 (16) 11 (1) 17 (18)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 0.71 0.35 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m², n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% Dialysis, n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 30 (31) 110 (10) 15 (16) 11 (1) 17 (18) 3 (3)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) 2 (4) | 0.00 0.12 0.12 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI \geq 30-40 Kg/m ² , n (%) BMI \geq 40 Kg/m ² , n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% Dialysis, n (%) Heart failure, n (%) Cirrhosis, n (%) Diabetes, n (%) | <pre>(n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 30 (31) 110 (10) 15 (16) 11 (1) 117 (18) 3 (3) 42 (44)</pre> | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) 2 (4) 18 (34) | 0.00 0.12 0.12 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR) Female Sex, n (%) BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m ² , n (%) BMI ≥40 Kg/m ² , n (%) Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (% Dialysis, n (%) Heart failure, n (%) Cirrhosis, n (%) Diabetes, n (%) Neurogenic bladder, n (%) | (n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10) 15 (16) 117 (18) 3 (3) 42 (44) 7 (7) | <pre>(n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) 2 (4) 18 (34) 4 (8)</pre> | 0.00 0.12 0.12 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR)Female Sex, n (%)BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%)BMI ≥40 Kg/m², n (%)Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (%Dialysis, n (%)Heart failure, n (%)Cirrhosis, n (%)Diabetes, n (%)Neurogenic bladder, n (%)History of malignancy, n (%) | (n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10) 15 (16) 117 (18) 31(3) 42 (44) 7 (7) 30 (31) | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) 2 (4) 18 (34) 4 (8) 21 (40) | 0.00 0.12 0.5 0.72 0.35 0.35 | |
| Age, median (IQR)Female Sex, n (%)BMI ≥30-40 Kg/m², n (%)BMI ≥40 Kg/m², n (%)Chronic kidney disease (Stage III or greater), n (%Dialysis, n (%)Heart failure, n (%)Cirrhosis, n (%)Diabetes, n (%)Neurogenic bladder, n (%)History of malignancy, n (%)Immunosuppression*, n (%) | (n=96) 78 (70-84) 47 (49) 30 (31) 10 (10) 115 (16) 117 (18) 117 (18) 31 (3) 42 (44) 42 (44) 7 (7) 30 (31) 9 (9) | (n=53) 72 (65-80) 19 (36) 13 (25) 6 (11) 12 (23) 2 (4) 7 (13) 2 (4) 18 (34) 18 (34) 4 (8) 13 (25) | 0.00 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.21 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.3 | |

Cancer treatment within 1 year, the use of an immunosuppressive drug (TNF-alpha agents, predhisone ≥ 20 mg or equivalent, mycophenolate, sirolimus, rituximab, vedolizumab, abatacept, eculizumab), bone marrow transplant recipient, human immunodeficiency virus, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, leukemia, lymphoma, solid organ transplant recipient, lupus erythematosus & vasculitis

Results

| Clinical Characteristics | OBLM (n=96) | FQ (n=53) |
|--|----------------|--------------|
| Source of infection | | |
| Urinary Tract | 69 (72) | 37 (70) |
| • Biliary | 17 (18) | 9 (17) |
| Gastrointestinal | 5 (5) | 1 (2) |
| Pneumonia | 2 (2) | 2 (4) |
| • Other | 2 (2) | 1 (2) |
| Central line associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) | 1 (1) | 3 (6) |
| Empiric Antimicrobial Therapy [≠] , n (%) | | |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam or ceftazidime | 73 (76) | 46 (87) |
| Ceftriaxone | 23 (24) | 13 (25) |
| Fluoroquinolone | 8 (8) | 1 (2) |
| Step Down Therapy, n (%) | | |
| Cefuroxime | 60 (63) | 0 |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid | 18 (19) | 0 |
| Cephalexin/cefadroxil | 11 (11) | 0 |
| Amoxicillin | 6 (6) | 0 |
| Cefpodoxime | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 52 (98) |
| • Levofloxacin | 0 | 1 (2) |
| Days from empiric to oral therapy, median (IQR) | 3 (2-5.25) | 3 (2-5) |
| Total days of antibiotic therapy, median (IQR) | 13 (9-15) | 14 (12-15) |

Empiric therapy may have included multiple agents

| Results | OBLM (n=96) | FQ (n=53) |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| Clinical cure, n (%) | 95 (99) | 52 (98) |
| 30-day readmission, n (%) | 17 (18) | 12 (23) |
| 30-day readmission due to infection, n (%) | 5 (5) | 5 (9) |
| Antibiotic associated adverse events, n (%) | 0 (0) | 2 (4) |
| • Diarrhea | 0 (0) | 1 |
| Nausea/vomiting | 0 (0) | 1 |
| Cardiotoxicity | 0 (0) | 0 |
| Nephrotoxicity | 0 (0) | 0 |
| Hepatotoxicity | 0 (0) | 0 |
| CDI within 90 days from start of treatment | 1 (1) | 2 (4) |

99





Yale University School of Medicine

| | Discussion |
|---|--|
| P-value | There was no statistically significant difference in clinical cure, the primary endpoint, in patients who used OBLM SDT compared to those that used FQ SDT. |
| 0.793 0.911 0.263 0.577 0.935 | OBLM patients had a higher median age, higher median PITT bacteremia score, were less likely to be immunosuppressed, and had shorter median duration of therapy. |
| 0.175 | There was also no statistically significant differences noted in any secondary endpoints however the number of adverse events and CDI were higher in the FQ group. |
| 0.1 0.95 0.1 | Limitations for the study include retrospective review and small sample size. |
| | Conclusions |
| N/A | Results of this study suggest that OBLM may be non-inferior to FQs in SDT of EB-BSI. |
| - | The use of OBLM may enhance stewardship efforts as a FQ sparing option for the treatment of EB-BSI. |
| 0.469 | Prospective studies in this area are warranted. |
| 0.034 | References |
| 0.034 | Mertz D, Koller M, Haller P, Lampert ML, Plagge H, Bassetti S, et al. Outcomes of early switching from intravenous to oral antibiotics on medical wards. Journal of Antimicrobial 305 Chemotherapy. 2009; 64: 188-199 306. |
| P-value 0.7 | Kutob LF, Justo JA, Bookstaver PB, Kohn J, Albrecht H, Al-Hasan MN. Effectiveness of oral antibiotics for definitive therapy of Gram-negative bloodstream infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2016 Nov;48(5):498-503. |
| 0.483 | Brigmon MM, Bookstaver PB, Kohn J, Albrecht H, Al-Hasan MN. Impact of fluoroquinolone resistance in Gram-negative bloodstream infections on healthcare 337 utilization. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2015; 21: 843-849 338. |
| 0.366 | 4. Brown KA, Khanafer N, Daneman N, Fisman DN. Meta-analysis of antibiotics and the risk of community-associated Clostridium difficile infection. <i>Antimicrob Agents</i> <i>Chemother</i> . 2013;57(5):2326-2332. doi:10.1128/AAC.02176-12. |
| 0.16 | Mercuro NJ, Stogsdill P, Wungwattana M. Retrospective analysis comparing oral stepdown therapy for enterobacteriaceae bloodstream infections: fluoroquinolones versus β-lactams. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018 May;51(5):687-692. |
| 0.34 | Brown KA, Khanafer N, Daneman N, Fisman DN. Meta-analysis of antibiotics and the risk of community-associated Clostridium difficile infection. <i>Antimicrob Agents</i> <i>Chemother</i>. 2013;57(5):2326-2332. doi:10.1128/AAC.02176-12. |
| | Disclosure: The authors of this presentation have the following to disclose concerning possible financial or personal relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter of this presentation: All Authors: Nothing to |

disclose.