Submission ID: 911458

Utilization of the BioFire[®] FilmArray[®] Pneumonia Panel in Critically III Patients with Influenza Pneumonia



Benjamin Ereshefsky¹, PharmD, BCIDP: Mina Raju², DO

¹Department of Pharmacy, ²Division of Infectious Diseases, Kaweah Delta Health Care District, Visalia, CA

Contact Information: Benjamin Ereshefsky, PharmD 400 W Mineral King Ave Visalia, CA 93291 Email: beresh@kdhcd.org

Background

- Seasonal influenza virus infects between 9 million and 45 million Americans every year resulting in up to 800,000 hospitalizations nationwide¹
- Coinfection rates have ranged from between 10-50% of inpatient influenza cases depending on patient location (e.g. ICU vs. med/surg floor) and condition (e.g. ARDS)^{2,3}
- Bacterial coinfection results in higher rates of ICU admission, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and septic shock, and increases the risk for mortality^{2,4,5}
- The most frequent bacterial pathogens that complicate influenza infection are S. aureus (including MRSA) and S. pneumoniae, followed by H. influenzae, ß-hemolytic streptococci, and *P. aeruainosa*^{4,6}
- Empiric anti-MRSA and anti-pseudomonal coverage are frequently used in critically ill patients with influenza
- Rapid molecular diagnostic tests (RDT), such as BioFire® FilmArray® Pneumonia (PNA) panel, provides quicker results than conventional organism identification and susceptibility testing⁷
- Use of RDT may allow for faster time to targeted therapy

Materials and Methods

- **Design:** single-center, retrospective case series
- Inclusion criteria: admitted to an ICU and requiring mechanical ventilation, ≥18 years of age, positive influenza tests, evaluable BioFire® PNA panel results
- Time frame: December 1, 2019 March 31, 2020
- Objective: to compare results from rapid diagnostic testing with traditional culture results, and to describe the impact on time to results and time to de-escalation of antibiotics
- A total of 21 patients with 22 BioFire® PNA panel results were included (only 20 were clinically evaluable)

Results							
Table 1: Baseline and Clinical Ch	Figure 1: Orgnisms Identified by BioFire PNA Panel and Respiratory Culture						
Female	9 (43%)	25					
Age (mean ± SD), years	63.6 ± 13.9	20 BioFire PNA Panel (n=22) Respiratory culture (n=21)					
Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus COPD	6 (29%) 7 (33%)	200 15 10 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
Recent steroids (previous 30 days)	3 (14%)						
Influenza results Influenza A Influenza B	19 (90.5%) 2 (9.5%)						
Hospital LOS (median [IQR]), days	10 (6-20)						
ICU LOS (median [IQR]), days (n=20)	5.5 (3.75-12.25)						
Vasopressor use (n=20)	18 (90%)						
ARDS (n=20)	6 (30%)	Figure 2: Comparison of PNA Panel and Respiratory Culture					
ECMO (n=20)	3 (15%)	RioEiro DNA Danel (n=22) RioEiro DNA Danel (n=22)					
In-hospital mortality (n=20)	8 (40%)						
Empiric antibiotics (n=22)	Polybacterial	No Bacteria Niono ba	cterial Polybacteriai	Tradition	nal culture		
Vancomycin Ceftriaxone	18 (22%) 6 (27%)	18% techniques only identified 10 (48%)					ues only
Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem	15 (68%) 1 (5%)	48% coinfections					
Azithromycin	10 (45%)			52%			
Antivirals Oseltamivir Baloxivir	20 (95%) 3 (14%)	55%					
Positive blood culture			Table 2. Time A	D	0%		
MSSA	2 (10%)	Table 2: Time to Result and Antibiotic Modification					
MRSA	1 (5%)	Duration of antibiotics before culture collection (n=20), hours 11.3 (5.8-16.5) Respiratory culture results (n=21), hours 45.8 (31.5-55.6) PNA panel results (n=22), hours 5.1 (2.7-11)					F 4 (2 F 44 2)
P. aeruginosa	2 (10%)					5.1 (2.7-11.3)	
Bacterial Coinfection	17 (81%)	Duration of empiric antibic	otics (n=18), hours	38.2 (25.7-44.8)	PNA panel results to modification (n=18)	o antibiotic	13.2 (1.7-24.0)
Dacterial connection	17 (0176)				modification (n=10)	, nours	
Conclusion			References				
• Bacterial coinfection was common in this cohort of intubated, critically ill patients with influenza			 Disease burden of influenza. CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/past-seasons.html. Last reviewed: Oct 10, 2020. Shah NS, Greenberg JA, McNulty MC, et al. J Clin Virology. 2016;80:12-19. 				
Use of the BioFire® PNA pane of bacterial pathogons than to	 Rozencwajg S, Brechot, Schmidt M, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018;51(3):427-433. Muscedere J, Ofner M, Kumar A, et al. Chest. 2013;144(1):39-47. Macintyre CR, Chughtai AA, Barnes M, et al. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18:637. 						
Antibiotic modification occurr	 Beumer MC, Koch RM, van Beuningen D, et al. J Crit Care. 2019;50:59-65. Lee SH, Ruan SY, Pan SC, et al. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2019;52(6):920-928. 						

7. Lee SH, Ruan SY, Pan SC, et al. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2019;52(6):920-928.