

University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus Department of Psychiatry

Utilization of the Broset Violence Checklist to **Proactively Engage Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry** Kelly Stinson¹ MD and Thom Dunn^{2,3} PhD

BACKGROUND

• Behavioral emergency response teams (BERT) address behavioral and mental health emergencies with goals of early detection, mitigation of crises, and improved patient care in a variety of hospitals

 In a novel approach, we utilized a nursing-• Overall calls dropped from 15.5 prior to the administered screening tool to predict potentially 10.5 during the pilot (p=0.14). Similarly, calls violent patients, to proactively involve our behavioral restraints dropped from 4 to 2 (p=0.09), and ca health team to respond quickly to patients agitated patients dropped from 9.5 to 8 (p=0.5 behaviorally decompensating

• Similar to BERT models, goals included reducing adverse patient outcomes, supporting staff in the management of behavioral events, and decreasing workplace violence

METHOD

• For three months, we piloted the Broset Violence Checklist, administered by nurses on two identified units

 Patients were rated on six factors: confusion, irritability, boisterousness, physical threats, verbal threats, and attacking objects

• Scores \geq 3 indicate the potential for high risk of violence, triggering a consult to psychiatry

 Consults focused on de-escalation, behavioral interventions, and psychiatric assessment

RESULTS

• 86 cases had Broset scores \geq 3, of which 36 consults This is one novel approach to using a nurse were placed to psychiatry (42%). We compared all screener to predict risk of violence to proactive patients with scores \geq 3 with those for which involve behavioral health teams, as a means psychiatry was consulted utilizing resources already in place to respond quickly to patients behaviorally decompensat

Academy for Consultation Liaison Psychiatry Annual Meeting 2020

¹Department of Psychiatry -University of Colorado ²Denver Health ³University of Northern Colorado

 The only significant difference between the groups is a higher incidence of mental health diagnoses in patients for whom psychiatry wa involved (p<0.01)

 While average calls per month to security di this was not statistically significant

 A longer study duration would be necessary demonstrate significant benefits

 A majority of nurses felt the Broset was an tool for monitoring risk of violence, helpful in escalation of patients, and led to greater supp managing agitated patients

 However, there was no change in nursing at toward difficult patients

 Feedback for areas of continued improvement include training in verbal de-escalation, better communication with nursing staff, 24-hour availability of the consult service, and more n teams consulting psychiatry

CONCLUSIONS

• Strategies are being developed nationwide address behavioral health emergencies in a v hospital settings with goals to improve patien and experiences, along with decreasing risk of violence against staff and negative experienc which lead to burnout and turnover



ese h	Broset Violence Scale Inte	
las	Score = 0	Risk of violence is small
dropped,	Score 1-2	Risk of violence is mode Preventative measures s
e pilot to for calls for 53)	Score ≥ 3	The risk of violence is vere Preventative measures se In addition, plans should manage potential violence
r y to	Operationalization of Behaviors/Items behaviors gets a score of 1	
effective n de-	Confused	Appears obviously confused May be unaware of time, pla
port in	Irritable	Easily annoyed or angered. the presence of others.
attitudes	Boisterous	•
nents er medical	Physically threatening	Where there is a definite inter- threaten another person. For taking of an aggressive stan another persons clothing, the or leg, making of a fist or mo- butt directed at another.
e to variety of nt care of ces	Verbally threatening	A verbal outburst which is maised voice; and where the intent to intimidate or threater For example, verbal attacks calling, verbally neutral coma snarling aggressive manner.
ively of nd ating	Attacking objects	An attack directed at an object individual. For example the indiscriminate throwing of an smashing windows; kicking, butting an object; or the small

<u>terpretation</u>

erate should be taken

ery high should be taken be developed to ICe

s: Presence of

d and disorientated. ace or person.

Unable to tolerate

r noisy. For uts out when talking,

tent to physically or example, the nce, the grabbing of he raising of an arm odeling of a head-

nore than just a ere is a definite en another person. s, abuse, namenments uttered in ner.

ect and not an in object; banging or , banging or headashing of furniture.