

# Assessing Conversion to Transdermal Fentanyl or **Methadone During Transition on Hospice Admission**



Sul Gi Chae, PharmD1\*; Kathryn Walker, PharmD, BCPS, CPE2; Mary Lynn McPherson, PharmD, MA, MDE, BCPS, CPE1 1. University of Maryland School of Pharmacy 2. Medstar Health

## Background

- Transdermal fentanyl (TDF) or oral methadone are often selected as effective options for patients transitioning to hospice to allow prolonged pain relief and minimize the need for frequent re-dosing1,2
- Unpredictable pharmacokinetics of methadone and TDF could lead to potential dosing errors and patient harm during the transition to hospice1,2,3
- · Using criteria adopted from Seasons Hospice protocol and literature search, a standardized algorithm was created and approved by research investigators prior to data collection<sup>4</sup>

# **Description of project**

· Retrospective study approved by University of Maryland and MedStar IRB

#### Study Aims

· Determine if TDF/methadone initiation and transitions are done appropriately in the week prior to hospice admission.

**Exclusion** Criteria

inclusion criteria.

#### Inclusion Criteria

- Patients who are admitted to Patient who do not meet the Seasons inpatient/home hospice following MedStar hospitalization.
- · Dosing changes (initiation, titration) of methadone/TDF in last 7 days prior to hospice admission.

### Methods

- · Patients identified by reviewing data of patients who discharged by death from Seasons Hospice between July 1 2015 - June 30 2019 until the convenience sample of 30 patients is met.
- · Obtain the previous hospitalization's oral morphine equivalent (OME) and time exposure from MedStar Health electronic health record to guide the analysis in determining the appropriate drug initiation or titration.
- · A standardized algorithm guided for objective evaluation of each drug initiation or titration of methadone or TDF.

Methadone Initiation		Methadone Titration
Opioid Naïve Started dose between 2.5-7.5 mg/day of methadone f significant drug interaction,* lose was reduced by 25-30%	Opioid Tolerant     Total daily OME: 0-60 mg →     Followed opioid naïve dosing     Total daily OME: 61 – 199 mg     AND <65 yo → 10 mg OME: 1     mg PO methadone. Do not     exceed 30-40 mg/day     Total daily OME: ≥200 mg     and/or >65 yo → 20 mg OME:     I mg PO methadone. Do not     exceed 30-40 mg/day     If significant drug interaction,*     dose was reduced by 25-30%	<ul> <li>Patient was on current dose <u>&gt;</u> days.</li> <li>Dose was increased no more than 5 mg/day.</li> <li>If receiving &gt;30-40 mg/day, dose was increased by no more than 10 mg/day.</li> <li>If significant drug interaction, dose was reduced by 25-30%</li> </ul>
Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors		

1D1 Initiation	
Patient is not cachectic (cachexia: BMI >16 and/or albumin <3 w/ cancer dx). If TDF was initiated and patient's rescue opioids requirements (OME/day) has stayed the same OR increased for the next 2-3 days, this was deemed TDF failure. Patient received $\geq$ 60 mg OME $\geq$ 7 days prior to TDF initiation. Conversion from OME to TDF: 2 mg OME: 1 mcg/h TDF.	<ul> <li>Patient was on current dose of TDF for ≥3 days</li> <li>Dose was 1) increased by 25 mcg/hr 2) rescue opioid OME was calculated for last 2-3 days, TDF was increased based on the equivalent amount 3) increased by 50 mcg/hr if patient had significant pain (&gt;4 rescue doses/day) and was already on ≥50 mcg/hr strength</li> </ul>

#### Results



#### Outcomes

• Out of 19 patients who were qualified for the study, 5 patients had appropriate methadone/TDF initiation/titration while 14 patients had inappropriate methadone/TDF initiation/titration.

TYPES OF MEDICATION CHANGES



	Appropriate dosing (n=5)	Inappropriate dosing (n=14)
PC w/PharmD, n (%)	3 (60%)	1 (7%)
PC no PharmD, n (%)	1 (20%)	8 (57%)
No PC, n (%)	1 (20%)	5 (36%)

#### Limitations

- Small sample size
- · Unclear documentation in electronic health record about rationale for changes

#### Conclusion

- More than half of initiation or titration of high-risk opioids (i.e., methadone and TDF) were inappropriate
- TDF fentanyl initiation made up the majority of inappropriate dose changes in patients who discharged on hospice
- Changes made with PC pharmacist involvement were more likely to be appropriate