Geographic Disparities in County-Level Prevalence of Allopathic, Osteopathic, Surgical, and Non-Surgical Residency Programs in the United States

- PRESENTER: Dario Marotta
- Dario Marotta BS^{1,2}, Matthew Heard MHS³, Mackenzie E. Fowler MPH², Stephen L. Aita PhD^{2,4}, Sara Buckley BS⁵, Telyn Peterson BS⁶, Andrew Nam BS⁵, Abigail Jackovic BS⁷, Brittany Cuff BS⁷, Victor A. Del Bene PhD², Larry Armstrong DO, FACOS, FACS⁹

Author Affiliations:

- ¹ Alabama College of Osteopathic Medicine, Dothan, AL
- ² University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL
- ³ University of New England, Biddeford, ME
- ⁴ Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH ⁵ University of the Incarnate Word, San Antonio, TX
- ⁶ Rocky Vista University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Ivins, UT
- ⁷ Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine, Blacksburg, VA
- ⁸ Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine, Spartanburg, SC
- 9 Washington Regional Medical Center, Fayettesville, AR



INTRODUCTION

- Allopathic (MD) and osteopathic (DO) medical residency programs transitioned to a single accrediting body in July of 2020, leading to the closure of certain long-standing DO surgical and non-surgical residency programs¹.
- DO surgical specialties have been disproportionately impacted, specifically neurological surgery.
- Physician shortage is a well-documented contributor to inequitable medical care access in rural and underserved communities^{2,3,4}.
- A reduction in DO residency prevalence may contribute to this shortage, but the literature lacks a detailed characterization of residency distribution at the countylevel.

METHODS

- 1. This study is a cross-sectional epidemiological analysis of US counties with and without residencies in 2018.
- 2. 11,881 medical residency programs and fellowships were compiled from two national residency databases^{5,6}.
- 3. Transitional programs and fellowships were excluded, leaving 4,882 residency programs to be classified by type (MD/DO), surgical status, and county.
- 4. 19 county-level variables from the US Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates $(2013-2018)^7$ were compiled for each county.
 - The Rural-Urban Continuum Code (RUCC) was used as a measure of rurality.
- 5. Socioeconomic profiles of US counties were analyzed by residency type using descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and generalized linear models.

FIGURES & TABLES Figure 1: Geographic Distribution of US Medical Residencies Figure 1A: All US Medical Residencies

Table 2: ACS 5-Year Data Comparisons by Exclusive Residency Types

Table 1: ACS 5-Year Data for All Counties by Residency Classification

Census Variables	Only Allopathic Residencies (n = 280)	Only Osteopathic Residencies (n = 96)	p (es)	Non-Surgical Residencies			Surgical Residencies		
				Only Allopathic (n = 285)	Only Osteopathic (n = 101)	p (es)	Only Allopathic (n = 161)	Only Osteopathic (n = 17)	p (es)
Population									
RUCC	2.5 (1.6)	3.4 (1.9)	0.001 (0.51)	2.5 (1.6)	3.3 (1.9)	0.001 (0.46)	1.8 (1.0)	2.5 (1.4)	0.011 (0.57)
Average Population	345,075 (448,447)	136,638 (135,912)	0.001 (0.63)	345,511 (446,103)	141,060 (140,092)	0.001 (0.62)	688,139 (979,888)	240,820 (220,960)	0.001 (0.63)
Median Age	38.1 (4.6)	39.8 (4.8)	0.002 (0.36)	38.1 (4.6)	39.9 (4.8)	0.001 (0.38)	37.1 (4.0)	37.7 (5.0)	0.547 (0.13)
Old-Age Dependency Ratio	25.6 (8)	28.4 (6.9)	0.002 (0.37)	25.6 (8.0)	28.5 (6.9)	0.001 (0.39)	23.4 (6.3)	25.2 (5.9)	0.254 (0.29)
Child Dependency Ratio	36.4 (5.7)	36.5 (6.4)	0.897 (0.02)	36.5 (5.6)	36.8 (6.3)	0.673 (0.05)	35.6 (6.2)	36.0 (5.0)	0.823 (0.07)
Race, Disability, Veteran Status	:								
Caucasian	75.7 (17.3)	84.0 (13.9)	0.001 (0.53)	75.7 (17.4)	83.9 (14.2)	0.001 (0.52)	70.2 (16.0)	82.3 (9.0)	0.001 (0.93)
Non-Caucasian	24.3 (17.3)	16 (13.9)	0.001 (0.53)	24.3 (17.4)	16.1 (14.2)	0.001 (0.52)	29.8 (16.0)	17.7 (9.0)	0.001 (0.93)
Disability	13.4 (3.7)	15.8 (4.1)	0.001 (0.61)	13.5 (3.7)	15.9 (4.1)	0.001 (0.61)	12.3 (2.8)	13.8 (3.5)	0.044 (0.47)
Veteran	8.2 (3.1)	9.3 (2.5)	0.002 (0.39)	8.1 (3.1)	9.3 (2.5)	0.001 (0.43)	7.4 (2.8)	7.9 (1.2)	0.412 (0.23)
Economic									
Median Household Income	\$58,899 (\$16,963)	\$52,152 (\$12,022)	0.001 (0.46)	\$58,651 (\$16,717)	\$52,369 (\$13,123)	0.001 (0.42)	\$62,378 (\$18,297)	\$55,942 (\$14,367)	0.162 (0.39)
Families Below Poverty Level	10.9 (6.7)	11.4 (4.6)	0.518 (0.09)	10.9 (6.7)	11.3 (4.6)	0.547 (0.07)	10.7 (5.5)	11.1 (4.1)	0.763 (0.08)
Unemployment Rate	6 (2.9)	6.1 (1.8)	0.832 (0.04)	6.1 (2.9)	6.1 (1.8)	0.790 (0.00)	6.0 (1.9)	5.7 (1.3)	0.555 (0.18)
Education									
Less Than 9th Grade	4.9 (3.6)	4.4 (2.9)	0.226 (0.15)	4.9 (3.6)	4.5 (3.0)	0.325 (0.12)	4.9 (3.4)	4.2 (2.7)	0.415 (0.23)
High School Graduate	27.5 (6.6)	33.5 (7.4)	0.001 (0.86)	27.7 (6.6)	33.8 (7.4)	0.001 (0.87)	25.2 (6.6)	30.4 (8.6)	0.003 (0.68)
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	30.8 (10.7)	24.1 (9.1)	0.001 (0.67)	30.6 (10.7)	23.8 (8.8)	0.001 (0.69)	35.3 (11.5)	29.0 (11.9)	0.054 (0.54)
Health Insurance									
No Health Insurance	8.8 (4.0)	9.6 (5.5)	0.187 (0.17)	8.8 (4.0)	9.5 (5.5)	0.250 (0.15)	9.0 (4.6)	8.3 (3.7)	0.521 (0.17)
With Health Insurance	91.2 (4.0)	90.4 (5.5)	0.187 (0.17)	91.2 (4.0)	90.5 (5.5)	0.250 (0.15)	91.0 (4.6)	91.7 (3.7)	0.521 (0.17)
Public Insurance	35.4 (9.0)	38.6 (7.9)	0.003 (0.38)	35.5 (9.0)	38.7 (7.9)	0.001 (0.38)	33.7 (7.7)	36.3 (8.0)	0.187 (0.33
Private Insurance	68.4 (9.9)	66.0 (10.0)	0.043 (0.24)	68.3 (9.9)	65.9 (9.9)	0.043 (0.24)	68.8 (9.4)	67.9 (8.1)	0.717 (0.10)

Comparison	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR [‡] (95% CI)	p-value
MD Only vs. No Residency	0.60 (0.56, 0.65)	< 0.0001	0.63 (0.59, 0.68)	<0.0001
DO Only vs. No Residency	0.74 (0.69, 0.81)	< 0.0001	0.74 (0.67, 0.82)	< 0.0001
Both MD and DO vs. No Residency	0.50 (0.45, 0.57)	< 0.0001	0.52 (0.46, 0.59)	< 0.0001

Table 3: Frequencies of US County RUCC by Residency Type

RESULTS

- Allopathic and osteopathic programs differed significantly in frequency and geographic distribution (Figures 1A,1B,1C) yet were independently consistent with most census variable mean values of the general U.S. population (Table 1).
- Comparisons between counties with exclusively allopathic and osteopathic programs revealed osteopathic residencies served (Table 2):
 - less populous and educated counties with [mean(SD)]:
 - lower incomes [\$52,152(\$12,022)]
 - older age [39.8(4.8)]
 - higher percentage of disabled persons [15.8%(4.1%)]
 - higher percentage of veterans [9.3%(2.5%)]
- Osteopathic residency programs are located in more rural areas (Table 3).
- For every 1-unit RUCC increase (rurality), there was (Table 4):
 - 50% decreased odds of finding a residency program
 - 40% decreased odds of finding an allopathic program
 - 25% decreased odds of finding an osteopathic program
- Post-accreditation unification directly reduced the prevalence of surgical and non-surgical residencies in 26 counties.
- Fifty percent of neurological surgery residencies were eliminated despite having an average existence of 26.9 years.

CONCLUSION

- Osteopathic residencies are distributed in more rural and underserved counties.
- Post-accreditation unification may reduce future access to surgical and non-surgical care.
- Future accreditation efforts toward improving access to care through residency distribution should incorporate osteopathic placement strategies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cummings R. Meeting ACGME Standards Under a Unified Accreditation System: Challenges for Osteopathic Graduate Medical Education Programs. Acad Med. 2017; 92(7):936-942
- 2. Holst J. Increasing Rural Recruitment and Retention through Rural Exposure during Undergraduate Training: An Integrative Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020; 17(17):E6423
- 3. Zaidi Z, Mantosh D, Norcini J. International Medical Graduates: Promoting Equity and Belonging. Acad Med. 2020. Online ahead of print.
- 4. Gong G, Phillips S, Hudson C, Curti D, Philips BU. Higher US Rural Mortality Rates Linked To Socioeconomic Status, Physician Shortages, And Lack Of Health Insurance. Health Aff (Millwood). 2019; 38(12):2003-2010.
- 5. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME). (2020). Retrieved from the ACGME Data Retrieval System.
- 6. American Osteopathic Association (AOA). (2020). Retrieved from the AOA Opportunities Database.
- 7. U.S. Census Bureau. (2018). 2013-2018 American Community Survey 5-year Public Use Data. Retrieved from Census Bureau Data Developer.



Take a picture to download the full poster

