Ideal treatment regimen for patients with ≥ 1 brain metastasis from primary non-small cell lung cancer – a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Karanbir Brar¹, Shervin Taslimi², Yosef Ellenbogen², Behnam Sadeghirad³, Jiawen Deng⁴, Winston Hou⁴, Alireza Mansouri⁵ ¹Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, ²Division of Neurosurgery, University of Toronto, ³Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, ⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, ⁵Department of Neurosurgery, Penn State College of Medicine

INTRODUCTION

- Approximately 10% of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) present with brain metastases (BM) at the time of diagnosis
- The ideal management schema that incorporates all evidence-based treatment strategies is not clear
- The aim of this systematic review was to critically evaluate and compare different management paradigms for BM from NSCLC

METHODS

- MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, ClinicalTrials.gov, and CENTRAL were searched for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) based on >10 patients
- References of key studies were searched
- The primary outcomes were intracranial progression-free survival (CNS PFS) and overall progression-free survival (PFS); secondary outcomes included overall survival (OS)



Efficacy

Study Novello (201 Shaw (2013) Shaw (2017 Solomon (20 Soria (2017) Wu (2018) Yang (2017) Schuler (201 Schuler1 (20

Total (95% Heterogeneity

D

Treatme

Osimertin TKI first g Platinum WBRT+P

Figure 2 – Comparative efficacy of targeted therapies compared to conventional therapy in EGFR-mutant or ALK-rearranged NSCLC patients. A) Traditional meta-analysis showing overall PFS of targeted therapies versus traditional chemotherapy. All studies shown compared a TKI against conventional platinum-based chemotherapy. B) Network metaanalysis of CNS PFS of targeted therapies (ALKi or EGFRi) versus chemotherapy. C) Network meta-analysis of OS of targeted therapies (ALKi or EGFRi) versus chemotherapy. D) CNS PFS of EGFR+ patients treated with an EGFRi versus conventional therapy. E) Overall PFS of ALK-rearranged patients treated with ALKi versus conventional therapy

Α

Treatment

Chemotherapy

Figure 1 – PRISMA diagram outlining search results and stages of screening, with reasons for full-text study exclusion

Figure 3 – Comparative efficacy of various treatments in wild-type or all-comer NSCLC patients. A) Network meta-analysis of PFS in wild-type and all-comer patients. B) Network meta-analysis of OS in wild-type and all-comer patients.

Summary of included trials

36 trials representing 4349 patients were included in quantitative analysis; median sample size 88, range 28-554 Eligibility criteria:

• Most trials were open-label, parallel, superiority trials and all included patients aged >18 with NSCLC and >1 BM proven on CT/MRI

• 9/36 trials restricted to EGFR-mutant patients only, 10/36 to ALK-rearranged only, and 4/36 to wild-type only

• 35/36 trials excluded patients with non-favorable performance status, 24/36 excluded patients with symptomatic or untreated BMs

• We combined similar interventions (TKIs, traditional chemotherapy regimens, etc.) into single nodes for analysis where necessary

		В
Hazard Ratio TE SE Weight IV, Random, 95% CI IV	Hazard Ratio , Random, 95% Cl	Treatment
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Targeted therap Targeted therap Chemotherapy
CI) 100.0% 0.47 [0.36; 0.61]	•	Treatment
ty: Tau ² = 0.0806; Chi ² = 16.76, df = 8 (P = 0.03); I ² = 52% 0.1 Favours targeted f	0.5 1 2 10 therapy Favours Chemotherapy	Targeted therapy f Platinum based ch Targeted therapy 2 Targeted therapy f
		E
Comparison: other vs 'Platinum based		
ent (Fixed Effect Model)	HR 95%-CI	Treatment
inib (TKI third generation)	0.32 [0.15; 0.69] 0.67 [0.25; 1.75] 1.00 1.19 [0.41; 3.49]	Alectinib (second g Crizotinib (first gen Ceritinib (second g Chemotherapy



RESULTS





Table 1 – Risk of Bias assessment of studies included in quantitative analysis (completed using Cochrane RoB 2.0 tool)

Category

Random Sequence Generation Allocation Concealment Blinding (Personnel ar Participants) Blinding (Assessor) Incomplete Outcome Dat Selective Outcome Reporting

Overall RoB

- management

- mutations

/	# of studies		
	Low	High	Unclear
	5/36	0/36	12/36
t	2/36	1/36	14/36
nd	3/36	8/36	6/36
	5/36	6/36	6/36
ta	14/36	0/36	3/36
	34/36	0/36	2/36
B	14/36	2/36	20/36

CONCLUSIONS

 Many studies included patients who may have received previous treatment for BMs, presenting a possible confounder – however, these patients represent "realworld" case scenarios for BM Ideal evidence-based management of NSCLC BMs is not clear-cut in the current literature • For patients with targetable mutations, targeted therapies are significantly more effective than general chemotherapy • Immunotherapies show promise for patients without targetable • Most trials exclude patients with unfavorable performance status,

which may limit generalizability